

Investigation on Mechanical properties between of composite made of Poplar and Alder sawdust and high density Polyethylene

Alireza Dorostkar¹

¹M.Sc. Student, Dept. Of Wood Science and Technology, Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran

Abstract

In this research, the effect of wood species and particle size on mechanical properties of wood plastic composites (WPC) made of Poplar (*Populus alba*) and Alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) sawdust and high density polyethylene (HDPE) were investigated. Wood plastic composite (WPC) were made with 30% HDPE in a batch process at 185°C, at two particle sizes of 40 mesh and 80 mesh. Maleic anhydride polypropylene (MAPP) was used as a coupling agent. MOE, MOR, maximum tensile strength (MTS) increased with increasing particle size. There were significant differences in wood plastic composites produced from different wood species And MOE, MOR and MTS.

Keywords: *Wood-plastic Composite, Mechanical properties, wood species, Particle size, Polyethylene.*

1. Introduction

Wood plastic composites (WPC) are two common materials for structural and non-structural application comprising of wood flour as a filler and reinforcement of thermoplastic polymer as the matrices (Aina et al. 2013). WPCs can be made from many wood species, and mainly are formed by introducing wood flour from softwoods and hardwoods in a continuous extrusion process. Presently, there is tremendous interest in understanding the behavior of the wood particles and the surrounding plastic matrix behave at both macroscopic and microscopic levels (William, 2008). In WPCs production, the preferred method for manufacturing are extrusion and injection molding, where temperatures of about 200 °C and high pressures are normally used (Wolcott and Englund, 1999). Mechanical properties of wood plastic composites (WPCs) generally depend on the properties of their components and the ratio of these components (Kociszewski et al. 2012). The two main variables that distinguish wood Productions are wood species and Particle size (Bouafif et al. 2009). One of the factors affecting properties of a wood component is wood particle size. There are a variety of available wood species in the Iran that are potentially useful for the production of WPC, wood species such as Poplar, Alder, Alder, Poplar, Pine, Maple and Oak are commonly used whereas polyethylene, polypropylene (PP), and poly (vinyl chloride) are usually used as the thermoplastics (Dorostkar et al. 2014). Wood species have an important influence on the properties of

wood thermoplastic composites (Stark and Rowlands, 2003). Khalil et al (2006) have shown that mechanical properties are decreased with increasing particle sizes and wood species have not significantly affect on mechanical properties of wood plastic composite.

In recent years there are concerns for the production of wood structures and other materials. To achieve these, one of the requirements is to have compatibility between wood properties (mechanical, chemical) and the other materials that will allow obtaining a new product, with uniform structure and default properties (Terciu et al. 2012).

An optimal cost composite can be obtained by embedding in its component the waste from other manufacturing processes or recycled materials. The waste sawdust is an important resource of raw material. A report by FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) shows that the amount of wood of different species cut by sawmills in Europe in the year 2010 is about 125.36 million m³. The sawdust losses resulting from sawing processes are between 5-11% of the total log volume. At a minimal loss value of 5% results in a volume of 6.27 million m³ sawdust. So sawdust is an important renewable raw material and can be used in other areas moreover than heating.

The objective of this study was to investigate the mechanical properties of the thermoplastic composites that can be affected by different particle sizes of filler (wood-fiber) and different wood species (Poplar and Alder) with high density polyethylene content and to maximize the use of wood residues to produce modified wood-based products and most importantly to reduce the environment pollutions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample preparation

HDPE (specific gravity 960kg/m³ and MFI= 18 g/10 min from Arak Petrochemical Plant) was used in powder form. Poplar and Alder sawdusts were supplied from wood workshops in Shirvan and Gorgan and were screened using mesh 40 and mesh 80. The screened sawdust was placed inside an oven (103±2 °C) for 24 hours until the moisture content was <2%. MAPP was supplied by Kimiya Javid Sepahan Co. (content: 0.6% Maleic anhydride and 99.4% Polypropylene).

The sawdust, HDPE and MAPP were mixed at a composition of 68.7%, 29.3% and 2% respectively. The mix was compressed in form of a board using an OTT press and based on table1 with following properties: 20x15 size, 1 g/cm³ gravity and 1cm thickness (Figure 1).




Figure1. The boards produced from C: Poplar, E: Alder with polyethylene using 1: Mesh 40, 2: Mesh 80

Table1. Compression condition

Condition	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4
Pressure (bar)	30-35	---	30-35	30-35
Temperature (°C)	185	185	185	---
Time (min)	1	4	10	5

Table2. The mix components rates and percentages used in the treatments.

No. of Treatment	Name of Treatment	Wood species	Particle size (meshes)	Sawdust (%)	PE (%)	MAPE (%)
1	A1B1	Poplar	40	68.7	29.3	2
2	A1B2	Poplar	80	68.7	29.3	2
3	A2B1	Alder	40	68.7	29.3	2
4	A2B2	Alder	80	68.7	29.3	2

The mix was poured into a cast and it was compressed initially [185°C, 30-35 bar for 1 minute (step1)] and it was interrupted for 4 minutes with constant temperature(step2) and then the final compression was executed [185°C, 30-35 bar for 10 minutes (step 3)]. The board was removed out of oven and compressed for 5 minutes with 30-35 bars without heating (step4).

The produced boards were placed in room temperature for two weeks. The samples were dissected and their water absorption rates were tested. The tests were executed for wood-plastic mix using 70% sawdust of Poplar and Alder woods in two meshes (40 and 80) on 4 treatments with 6 iterations based on table 2. Panels were 25 × 15 cm², and 1 cm in thickness. Three replicate panels were produced for each composite formulation so that the total number of panels was 12. From each panel, tow replicate specimens were prepared. The total number of replications for each treatment was 6, totaling 24 specimens for all treatments. Panels were kept at room conditions for one week before specimens were cut for physical and mechanical tests.

2.2 Test procedure

2.2.1 Strength properties of wood plastics composite (WPC)

Evaluation for strength properties was carried out on WPC. The evaluation was conducted according to ASTM D-4761 and ASTM D-6109 standard respectively. Flexural properties of WPC were tested for MOE and MOR.

The strength properties of wood are measures of its resistance to exterior forces which tend to deform its mass (Erwinsyah, 2008). The resistance of wood to such forces depends on their magnitude and the manner of loading (bending, compression, shear, tension, etc.). Tsoumis (1991) stated that wood exhibited different strength properties in different growth directions; therefore it is mechanically anisotropic. According to Bowyer et al. (2004), strength properties are usually the most important characteristics of wood product used in structural applications. Structural application is any constructional usage governed by the strength property which is the primary criteria for material selection. Structural uses of wood product include floor

joint and rafters, wall sheathing and sub-flooring (Erwinsyah, 2008).

2.2.2 Flexural testing

Two point bending tests (flexural MOR and MOE) were performed according to ASTM Standard D-6109 with a crosshead speed of 2 mm min⁻¹ on an Instron 5500R-1137 test machine using the BlueHill v₂ software (figure 1). The nominal size of flexural specimens was 160 × 30 × 10 mm.




Figure1. The Flexural Testing

2.2.3 Tensile strength

The objective of tensile test is to determine the strength of bonding between the wood particles and plastic (polypropylene) in accessing the value of Maximum Tensile Strength using Instron Machine Testing and was carried out by using ASTM D-4761 standard. The nominal size of tensile specimens was 170 × 30 × 10 mm (According to figure 2). Tensile strength is defined how good will the material reacts under a certain pressure of forces. This testing has been done until it complete failure or break (figure 3).




Figure2. The Tensile Testing specimen



Figure3. The Tensile Testing

Finally the results of tests were analyzed full randomly as factorial test using SPSS₁₃ and the averages were categorized using a Duncan Test.

3. Results

Table 3 shows all test data. This table was assessed and analyzed using ANOVA and the General Linear Model (tables 4-6 and figures 4-6).

Table3. Average of Mechanical Properties

Wood Species	Particle size (Meshes)	MOE (MPa)	MOR (MPa)	Max Tensile strength (MPa)
Poplar	40	1281	13.4	6

Poplar	80	1178	11.3	5.3
Alder	40	1351	13.9	7.3
Alder	80	1223	11.8	6.4




Figure4. The effect of particle size and wood species on flexural MOE




Figure5. The effect of particle size and wood species on flexural MOR




Figure6. The effect of particle size and wood species on tensile strength

Table4. Variance analysis for flexural MOE

Source Of Variations (S.O.V)	Sum of Squares (S.S)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean-Square (M.S)	Test Statistic (F)	Level of Significant (p)	Significant
Factor A (Wood Species)	19837.500	1	19837.500	6.547	0.019	**
Factor B (particle size)	80041.500	1	80041.500	26.415	0.000	**
Interaction Effect (A*B)	937.500	1	937.500	0.309	0.584	ns
Error	60604.000	20	3030.200			
Total	38158054.0	24				

(**) Significant at the 0.01 level, (*) Significant at the 0.05 level, (ns) No significant

Table5. Variance analysis for flexural MOR

Source Of Variations (S.O.V)	Sum of Squares (S.S)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean-Square (M.S)	Test Statistic (F)	Level of Significant (p)	Significant
Factor A (Wood Species)	1.500	1	1.500	5.277	0.033	*
Factor B (particle size)	26.460	1	26.460	92.198	0.000	**
Interaction Effect (A*B)	0.000	1	0.000	0.000	1.000	ns
Error	5.740	20	0.287			
Total	3843.940	24				

(**) Significant at the 0.01 level, (*) Significant at the 0.05 level, (ns) No significant

Table6. Variance analysis for maximum tensile strength

Source Of Variations (S.O.V)	Sum of Squares (S.S)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean-Square (M.S)	Test Statistic (F)	Level of Significant (p)	Significant
Factor A (Wood Species)	8.640	1	8.640	45.236	0.000	**
Factor B (particle size)	3.840	1	3.840	20.105	0.000	**
Interaction Effect (A*B)	0.060	1	0.060	0.314	0.581	ns
Error	3.820	20	0.191			
Total	953.860	24				

(**) Significant at the 0.01 level, (*) Significant at the 0.05 level, (ns) No significant

The results of analysis of variance carried out at an alpha = 0.01 to test for significant differences among particle sizes and flexural MOE of wood plastic composites are presented (Table 4 and figure 3). The results showed there were significant differences in wood plastic composites produced from different particle sizes and flexural MOE ($p < 0.01$). According to table 4 and figure 3, there were differences between wood species (Poplar and Alder) and this differences were significantly at alpha= 0.01, Alder has

better Property. Amount of flexural MOE increased with increasing particle size.

The results of analysis of variance carried out at an alpha = 0.01 to test for significant differences among particle sizes and flexural MOR of wood plastic composites are presented in Tables 5 and figure 4. The results showed that, there were significant differences in wood plastic composites produced from different particle sizes and flexural MOR. According to table 5 there were differences between wood

species (Poplar and Alder) and this differences were significantly at an $\alpha=0.05$, Alder has better Property. Amount of flexural MOR increased with increasing particle size.

Also according to table 6 and figure 5, there were significantly differences at $\alpha = 0.01$ probability level between different particle sizes and wood species on maximum tensile strength. Alder has better Property. Amount of maximum tensile strength increased with increasing particle size.

4. Discussion

Increased wood-fiber sizes decreased the strength and stiffness of the thermoplastic composites (Sukhairi, 2013). With increasing particle size, decreases homogeneity of wood composite, and decreases material coverage in some parts of matrix thus particles don't have enough contacts with matrix. Since matrix rate was lower in this study, the results are not consistence with what predicted before because particle size is decreased and surface/volume ratio is therefore increased and substrate rate is not sufficient for particle coverage. Then flexural MOE, MOR and maximum tensile strength are increased significantly in this study due to higher particle size. This is in contrast to the results of Khalil et al. (2006). It seems that second reason is production method, since production method in this research is batch system but in other research as Khalil et al, Stark and ... production method is extruding system. In extruder system, matrix materials are lapped fillers very better than batch system. There were significant differences in wood plastic composites produced from different wood species And MOE, MOR and MTS. The various wood species have different anatomies. These structural differences impact the use of these materials in WPC. For example, fiber dimensions, strength, variability and structure are important considerations (Bouafif et al. 2009). Difference of stiffness, strength, structure and chemical component can make different Property. In this study, differences of MOE, MOR and MTS property between Alder and Poplar wood is significant.

5. Conclusions

This study was successfully carried out to investigate the effect of two indigenous wood species and two particle sizes on mechanical properties of sawdust that mixed with HDPE as WPC were those of modulus of rupture, modulus of elasticity and maximum tensile strength.

The results led to the following conclusions for particle size and wood species effects:

1. In wood/plastic ratio 70:30 and in Batch production method mechanical properties (MOE, MOR and Maximum tensile strength) increased as particle size increased.
2. There were significantly differences between wood species.

References

- [1] Aina. K.S, Osuntuyi. E.O, Aruwajoye. A.S, "Comparative Studies on Physico-Mechanical Properties of Wood Plastic Composites Produced from Three Indigenous Wood Species", International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Vol. 2 (8), 2013, 226-230.
- [2] Bouafif. H., Koubaa. A, Perre. P, Cloutier. A, "Effects of fiber characteristics on the physical and mechanical properties of wood plastic composites", Compos Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, Vol. 40(12), 2009, pp. 975–1981.
- [3] Bowyer. J.L, Shmulsky. R, and Haygreen. J.G, Forest Product and Wood Sciences – An Introduction (4th Edition), Blackwell Publishing Company, 2004,
- [4] Dorostkar. a, Rafighi. a, Madhoushi. m, "Investigation on water absorption property of wood plastic composite", International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences, vol. 4(2), 2014, 633-638.
- [5] Erwinsyah. S.Hut, "Improvement of Oil Palm Wood Properties Using Bioresin". Ph.D. thesis, in Institut für Forstnutzung und Forsttechnik Fakultät für Forst-, Geo- und Hydrowissenschaften Technische Universität Dresden, German, 2008.
- [6] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2012. [WWW] <http://faostat.fao.org>. (21.01.2012).
- [7] Khalil, H.P.S.A, Shahnaz. S.B.S, Ratnam. M.M, Ahmad. F, Fuaad. N. 2006. The Effect of Different Filler Size and Filler Loading on Mechanical and Water Absorption Properties. Journal of Reinforced Plastic and Composites, vol. 25 (12), pp 1291-1303.
- [8] Kociszewski. M, Gozdecki. C, Wilczynski. Ar, Zajchowski. S, Mirowski. J, "Effect of industrial wood particle size on mechanical properties of wood-polyvinyl chloride composites", Eur. J. Wood Prod, Vol. 70(1-3), 2012, pp. 113–118.
- [9] Stark. N.M, and Rowlands. R.E, "Effects of wood fiber characteristics on mechanical properties of wood/polypropylene composites", Wood Fiber and Science, Vol. 35(2), 2003, pp.167–174.
- [10] Sukhairi. M.R.M, Rasat. M, Wahab. R, Shafie. A, Yunus. A.A.M, Yusoff. M, Ramle. S.F.M, And Zulhisyam. A.K, " Effect of wood-fiber geometry size on mechanical properties of wood-fiber from Neolamarckia cadamba species reinforced polypropylene composites", Journal of Tropical Resources and Sustainable Science, Vol. 1 (1), 2013, pp. 42-50.
- [11] Terciu. O.M, Curtu. I, Teodorescu-Draghicescu. H, "Effect of wood particle size on tensile strength in case of polymeric composite", 8th International DAAAM Baltic Conference "Industrial Engineering". 19-21 April 2012, Tallinn, Estonia.
- [12] Tsoumis. G, Science and Technology of Wood–Structure, Properties and Utilization, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1991.
- [13] William. G.E, "Influence of wood species on properties of wood/HDPE Composites", PhD thesis, in Department of Civil

and Environmental Engineering, Washington State University, USA, 2008.

- [14] Wolcott. M.P, and Englund. K, "A technological review of wood plastic composites", 33rd International particleboard/composite material symposium, Washington State University, Pullman WA, 1999.

Alireza Dorostkar: M.Sc. of Wood Protection and modification, 2008, Dept. Of Wood Science and Technology in Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, with 26 papers published in journals and different conferences. A Current research interests is wood plastic composite.