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Abstract
Using the optimum resources possible in designing the hydraulic presses frame can effect reduction in the cost of the hydraulic presses. By optimizing the weight of material utilized for building the structure. An attempt has been made in this direction to reduce the volume of material. So here we consider an industrial application project consisting of mass minimization of H-frame type hydraulic press. This press has to compensate the forces acting on the working plates and has to fulfill certain critical constraints. ANSYS has been used for this analysis the main aim is to reduce the cost of the Hydraulic presses without compromising on the quality of the output. With regarding to design specification, stress distribution, deflection, and cost, are focused on optimized design. The methodology followed in this work is comparison of stresses induced in machine for different thickness used for construction of frame and column of the H-frame type hydraulic press.
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1. Introduction
A hydraulic press is a machine using a hydraulic cylinder to generate a compressive force. Frames and column and cylinder are the main components of the hydraulic press. In this project H type hydraulic press frame and column are designed by the design procedure. Press frame and column are analysed to improve its performance and quality for press working operation. Structural analysis has become an integral part of the product design.

Structural analysis has been applied on H frame hydraulic press structure by using analysing software ANSYS. An integrated approach has been developed to verify the structural performance and stress strain distributions are plotted by using ANSYS software. According to the structural values the dimensions of the frame and column are modified to perform the functions satisfactory. Hydraulic presses derive the energy they deliver through hydraulic pressure. The hydraulic press depends on Pascal's principle, the pressure throughout a closed system is constant. At one end of the system is a piston with a small cross-sectional area driven by a lever to increase the force. Small-diameter tubing leads to the other end of the system.

2. H-frame hydraulic press
These presses are made out of tested materials, press frame made out of tested ms plates, stress relieved after fabrication and machined for accuracy. H-frame hydraulic presses can be employed for a number of applications such as bending, punching, drawing, coining, crimping and trimming. Here H-frame hydraulic press produces pressure of 5ton.
2.1 Components of the H-frame hydraulic press

a) Top frame  
b) Moving frame  
c) Column  
d) Press pin  
e) Bottom support  
f) Working table  
g) Cylinder mounting table.

2.2 Problem statement

Analyzing the existing 5 ton hydraulic press finding the maximum stress induced in the model during the working condition. Then by calculating its factor of safety if it’s more than 3 then we will try to keep it in 2.5 to 3 so that its weight reduces and finding the maximum stress and deflection in the optimized design, without compromising on quality of output.

2.3 Flow chart to indicate stage of the methodology

1st Stage: According to the dimensions of the H-frame hydraulic press for before optimization have been used to model in ANSYS.
2nd Stage: Applied constraints and maximum load to find the maximum stress induced in the hydraulic press.
3rd Stage: Structural analysis done.
4th Stage: The results obtained from the analysis are interpreted & checked for their validity and material optimization is carried out.

3. Specifications of Material used

i. Material used for manufacturing the machine: Mild steel  
ii. Carbon content in the mild steel: 0.40 % of carbon  
iii. Density : 7801Kg/m³  
iv. Ultimate tensile strength of the mild steel:650 N/mm²  
v. Young’s modulus:2X10⁵ N/mm²  
vi. Poisson’s ratio:0.30

3.1 H-frame hydraulic press before optimization

Fig. 2 Front view of H-frame hydraulic press.

Fig. 3 Top view of H-frame hydraulic press.

3.2 Optimization of Press Machine components
The idea for optimizing a structure is to modify the sizes of structural members, i.e., use size parameters (plate thicknesses, bar cross-sectional areas, etc.) as design variables in the optimization process. This approach is called the sizing optimization method. A realistic need in designing structures, e.g., Top plate, Movable plate, Column and Bottom plate and rib is to find an optimal design for minimizing weight and deflection, maximizing safety, minimizing the cost of products.

3.3 Results for before optimization

Maximum stress distribution obtained at working plates before optimized dimensions is 51.197 MPa.

3.4 Results Obtained by ansys for before optimization

The Results obtained from the analysis

- The Maximum stress induced in the machine frame 51.197 MPa
- The Maximum Displacement for this load = 0.03704 mm
- The Maximum stress induced in the machine frame (51.197 MPa) is far below the yield stress of Mild steel is i.e. 250 MPa.
- The factor of safety = (Yield stress / Maximum stress induced in the machine)
- The factor of safety = 250 / 51.197 = 4.883.
- Now by analytically the maximum stress induced in press is calculated.
- Therefore the thickness of column will be reduced from (40mm to 12mm), moving & top plate (45mm to 15mm), Rib (45 to 12mm) we go for further analysis and material optimization.

4. Need for Optimization

Herein where the need for optimization plays a very important role and the best possible solution has to be delivered within the available resources and constraints. Most of the optimization problems are made up of three components:

a) An objective function, which we want to minimize or maximize. For example in this case we are interested in minimizing the weight of material of the press frame.

b) A set of design variables that affect the value of objective function. The variables in our case are:
   - Geometry of the press.
   - Material used
   - Height of the press.
   - Loading conditions.

c) A set of constraints. In our case the constraints are:
   - Applied Load.
   - Daylight span and height.

4.1 Results for after optimization

Maximum stress distribution obtained at working plates after optimized dimensions is 88.505 MPa.

4.2 Results obtained by ansys for after optimization

- The Maximum stress induced in the machine frame 88.505 MPa
The Maximum Displacement for this load = 0.066983 mm
The stress obtained now is now slightly below the half of yield stress. Here we got factor of safety minimum 2.82.
The Maximum stress induced in the machine frame (88.505 MPa) is far below the yield stress of Mild steel i.e. 250 MPa.
The factor of safety = Yield stress / Maximum stress induced in the machine.

\[ \text{The factor of safety} = 250 / 88.505 = 2.824. \]

Our purpose is to keeping factor of safety between 2.5 to 3.0 without affecting on performance of hydraulic press. This has been chosen from reference data.

5. Cost analysis and results comparison

The mass for optimized design of material is calculated as below

Volume of column without hole consideration
\[ V_1 = l \times b \times h \].

\[ = 50 \times 12 \times 810 \]
\[ = 486000 \text{mm}^3 \]

\[ V_2 = \pi \times 10^2 \times 12 \]
\[ = 3769.91 \text{mm}^3 \]

For 5 holes in column
\[ V_2 = 18849.55 \text{mm}^3 \]

Volume of column with hole
\[ V_c = V_1 - V_2 \]
\[ = 467150.44 \text{mm}^3 \]

We know that density is
\[ \rho = \frac{m}{V} \]
\[ = \frac{7801 \times 467150.44 \times (10^{-3})^2}{3.644} \]
\[ = 3.644 \text{ kg} \]

For four column its mass is m=14.57kg
Similarly for Frames and Rib and for supporting plate we obtained as shown in table.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Original Design Weight (Kg)</th>
<th>Optimized Design Weight (Kg)</th>
<th>Weight Reduction (Kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Vertical Column</td>
<td>48.59</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>34.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Top Frame</td>
<td>29.84</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>19.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Moving Frame</td>
<td>29.39</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>19.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Bottom Support</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Press Pin</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Working Table</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Rib</td>
<td>18.71</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Side Plate</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Top Table</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>143.35</td>
<td>60.84</td>
<td>82.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Shows comparison of original design and optimized design weight

Percentage of weight reduction
\[ = (\text{Weight reduction} / \text{Original design weight}) \times 100 \]
\[ = (82.51 / 143.35) \times 100 \]
\[ = 57.56\% \]

Percentage of weight reduction we found up to 57.56%.

6. Calculation of Cost Reduction for H-Frame Type Hydraulic Press

6.1 Total cost before optimization

Material cost per kg = Rs.58
Fabrication cost per kg = Rs.30
Material cost for 143.35 kg = Rs.8314.8
Fabrication cost for 143.35 kg = Rs.4300.5
Total = Rs.12615

6.2 Total cost after optimization

Material cost per kg = Rs.58
Fabrication cost per kg = Rs.30
Material cost for 60.84 kg = Rs.3528.72
Fabrication cost for 60.84 kg = Rs.1825
Total = Rs.5354

6.3 Cost reduction of hydraulic press

Percentage of material cost reduction
\[ = (12615 – 5354) / 12615 \times 100 \]
\[ = 57.55\% \]

Percentage of cost reduction we got up to 57.55%.
7. Conclusion

In this project it has been compared original design of H frame type hydraulic press with design that have been optimized by using software tool (ANSYS) it has been demonstrate that, under the same loading conditions, constraints, and intended design purpose ANSYS indentifies a lighter design with reduced material cost.

In this project, it has been shown a 57.56% weight reduction & 57.55% cost reduction of the H-frame type hydraulic press, which was achieved by changing the dimensions of the vertical column, moving & top table, and working plate while maintaining structural balance of the hydraulic press and without affecting on performance.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Before Optimization</th>
<th>After Optimization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Max. Stress Developed in the H-Frame type hydraulic press</td>
<td>51.197 MPa</td>
<td>88.505 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Max. Deformation of Frames of the hydraulic press</td>
<td>0.03704 mm</td>
<td>0.066983 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Yield Strength</td>
<td>250 MPa</td>
<td>250 MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Factor Of Safety</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Weight Of The Machine</td>
<td>143.35kg</td>
<td>60.84kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Rs.12615</td>
<td>Rs.5354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>