Computational modeling and experimental analysis of counter electrode at its optimal temperature for quantum dot sensitized solar cells

Dinah Punnoose1, Hee-Je Kim2 and Sang-Hwa Chung3*

1Department of electrical and computer engineering, Pusan national university, 2Busandaehak-ro, 63 beon-gil, Geumjeong-gu, Busan, 46241, Rep.of KOREA,
2Department of electrical and computer engineering, Pusan national university, 2Busandaehak-ro, 63 beon-gil, Geumjeong-gu, Busan, 46241, Rep.of KOREA,
3Department of computer engineering, Pusan national university, 2Busandaehak-ro, 63 beon-gil, Geumjeong-gu, Busan, 46241, Rep.of KOREA,

Abstract
In this study, nickel sulfide (NiS) counter electrode (CE) was employed in quantum dot-sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs) in order to enhance the short circuit current, fill factor (FF) and power conversion efficiency (PCE). The NiS electrodes were prepared using chemical bath deposition method at varying temperatures before they were exploited. We computationally modeled the deposition temperature of the CE and simulation analysis of the same was examined. A circuit based simulation model for a solar cell in order to estimate the electrical behavior with respect to temperature and irradiance. This model was implemented on matlab simulink script file on the basis of parameter extraction from experimental data which accepts irradiance and temperature as variable parameters and outputs the I-V characteristics. The optimized CE exhibited an appreciated PCE of 3.30% under 1 sun illumination (AM 1.5G, 100 mW cm−2) and is superior to the cell employing platinized CE (1.89%).
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1. Introduction
Quantum dot sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs), in which semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) of narrow band gap function as photosensitizer, have of late attracted mounting interests as an alternative to the conventional dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC), owing to their tunable band gap, large light absorption coefficient and high stability [1-7]. Successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR) [8] and chemical bath deposition (CBD) [9] are frequently used methods for growing semiconductor QDs directly onto a mesoscopic oxide films. The most prominent QDs are metal sulfides and selenid es. Preceding research reports show that combination of QDs lead to higher efficiencies than pure materials [10, 11-14]. CdS, CdSe, PbS, PbSe and Sb2S3 are recurrently used as sensitizers in QDSSC’s. Nevertheless, in-organic semiconductor sensitizers, typically referred to as quantum dot (QD) sensitizers, are lately studied with great interest and surpass their dye homologues.

Many novel CEs have been reported to enhance the efficiency and fill factor (FF) of solar cells, such as Au, Cu2S, NiS, FeS, and CoS [12, 15-19]. Yang et al. reported the photovoltaic properties of CoS, CuS, and NiS CEs, and established that CoS is superior to the other two, in the order of CoS>CuS>NiS [20]. Among these, Ni is an abundant material in earth than Cu, Co and Pb. Batabyal et al. have reported that the nickel chalcogenides based nano-material’s shows superior electro catalytic activity and good electrical properties for the iodine/iodide redox reactions than that of cobalt and copper chalcogenides in DSSC system [17]. In the present work, we investigated NiS CEs owing to their low cost, high electro-catalytic effect towards polysulfide reaction, and superior chemical activity [21]. We deposited NiS thin film by CBD because this process is low-cost, simple and low-temperature and has potential for large-scale production. Platinum (Pt) is the most precious of all electro-catalysts but however is not a good choice in QDSSCs employing polysulfide electrolytes. The construction of an efficient cathode is essential not only for QDSSCs but for all types of electrochemical cells. The present work, opted for the employment of NiS as efficient CE based on the deposition temperature. The deposition temperature of the NiS CE during chemical bath deposition (CBD) was varied in order to improve the efficiency and to replace the Pt CE. In addition, the performance of a solar cell is analyzed...
as a function of the CE deposition temperature. Various experiments are carried out to study the effects of the solar cell performance. Therefore a solar cell modeling needs to be developed to facilitate such experiments. A simulation model for the solar cell was implemented using the matlab software on the basis of this experimental data. A matlab simulink solar cell model that behaves accurately under variety of situations is developed and discussed in this paper. Consequently, our researchers testified that it is possible to hike the efficiency of a QDSSC by tuning the CE deposition temperature experimentally [21]. Present work takes into account both the temperature and irradiance into account. These effects are investigated and a new solar cell model is proposed. Equivalent circuit of a solar cell has been used for analytical and statistical analysis of the experimental data. This model was implemented on matlab simulink script file on the basis of parameter extraction from experimental data which accepts irradiance and temperature as variable parameters and outputs the I-V characteristics.

2. Simulation and experiment

2.1 Solar cell simulation

The equivalent circuit of the solar cell is shown below in Fig. 1, consisting of one current source, one diode element, resistances and capacitors [22–24]. The current source describes the photo-generated current (IPH) by light irradiation. The resistances include a shunt resistance (RSH) and a series resistance (RS).

RSH is related to the electron recombination across the TiO2/dye/electrolyte interface. RS includes three resistances, the resistances related to charge transport at the counter electrode (RCE), resistance related to carrier transport by ions within the electrolyte (Rct) and the sheet resistance of the transparent conductive oxide (RTCO). Capacitances are generally ignored in the DC analysis because they are very large [25]. Therefore, the diode current ID is expressed as

\[ I_D = I_0 \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{V + I_D R_S}{n k T} \right) - 1 \right\} \]

where \( I_0 \) is the diode saturation current, q is the elementary charge, n is the ideality factor, k is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature. Consequently, the output current (IOUT) is described by

\[ I_{OUT} = I_{PH} - I_0 \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{V + I_{OUT} R_S}{n k T} \right) - 1 \right\} \]

In an ideal cell RS = Rsh = 0, which is a relatively common assumption [26]. For this paper, a model of moderate complexity was used. The net current of the cell is the difference of the photocurrent, IPH and the normal diode current ID. The I–V characteristics of a QDSSC is nonlinear due to the term ID in the output current equation described in (2). This is difficult to simulate as the simulation process involving nonlinear equations is complicated and time consuming. In our study, the QDSSC simulation was carried out using matlab software. The model included temperature dependence of the photocurrent IPH and the saturation current of the diode ID for a certain ambient irradiation G, Gnom for the nominal value and Jsc as the current density.

\[ I_{PH} = IPH(T_1) + K_0(T - T_1) \]

\[ IPH(T_1) = JSC(T_1, nom) \frac{G}{G_{nom}} \]

\[ K_0 = \frac{JSC(T_2) - JSC(T_1)}{(T_2 - T_1)} \]

\[ ID = ID(T_1) \left( \frac{T}{T_1} \right)^{3/n} \exp \left( \frac{q V_{q}(T_1)}{n k T_1} \right) \]

\[ ID(T_1) = \frac{JSC(T_1)}{q V_{q}(T_1)} e^{\frac{q V_{q}(T_1)}{n k T_1}} \]

The simulated performance of a QDSSC as a function of CE deposition temperature results from calculating the values. The simulation process was coded using matlab script. The net current of the cell is the difference of the photocurrent, IPH and the normal diode current ID. A
2.2 Solar cell model implementation

![Model of a solar cell using the matlab simulink tool](image)

Fig. 2. Model of a solar cell using the matlab simulink tool

Fig. 2, shows the designed model of a solar cell using the matlab software. The investigated outputs of the solar cell module include the instantaneous power and current characteristics. These parameters vary according to the input parameters such as temperature, voltage and irradiance. Two scenarios were simulated. First the temperature was varied and in second instance the irradiation was varied to show the effect of solar radiation on the cells.

2.3 Experimental Section

Single cells with an active area of 0.27 cm² were fabricated by depositing titanium dioxide (TiO₂) paste on a clean fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) by doctor blade method. Nano-porous TiO₂ structure was formed by sintering at 450 °C for 30 minutes and the final thickness was found to be ~7.5 µm after solvent evaporation. The TiO₂ electrodes were then sequentially sensitized with CdS and CdSe QDs using SILAR technique [8] and were carried out for 5 and 7 cycles respectively. Finally a passivating layer of ZnS was coated using the same technique. The resulting structure functions as a photo-anode. Separate NiS CEs were fabricated using chemical bath deposition (CBD) at deposition temperatures of 80, 90 and 100 °C for 90 minutes each. Finally, the NiS-coated films were washed several times with distilled water and were christened as NiS 80, NiS 90 and NiS 100 respectively and were used for further characterization.

3. Measurements

The TiO₂ thickness was measured using a surface profiler (ET4000, Dong-II Techno Co. Ltd., Korea). The simulation results were displayed using the Simview program in the PSIM simulation tool. The absorbance of the CE with different temperatures was measured using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (Optizen 3220UV, Mecasys, Korea) at wavelengths ranging from 300 to 900 nm in order to analyze the performance of each sample. The photovoltaic performance of the fabricated cells and modules was measured under 1 sun illumination (air mass (AM) 1.5, 100 mW/cm²) using a source meter (Model 2400, keithley Instrument Inc., USA). The I–V characteristics of each sample was represented as the open circuit voltage (V_{OC}), current density (J_{SC}), fill factor (FF) and conversion efficiency (η). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed using a BioLogic potentiostat / EIS analyzer (SP-150, France).

4. Results and discussion

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to study the formation of NiS on a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate. A top-view SEM image of the NiS CE is shown in Fig. 3, no thin film formation was observed on FTO substrate at 80 °C deposition temperature. However, at 90°C (NiS 90) NiS nanoparticles are formed on the FTO substrate and the size of the particles varied between 125-150 nm and is shown in Fig. 3b. But at the deposition temperature 90°C (NiS 90), the morphology of agglomerate nanoparticles was observed with the particle size of 263 nm. The NiS 90 CE shows the nano porous structure with a larger surface area, which renders high catalytic activity to the CE.
When the deposition temperature at 100 °C different type of morphology was observed on FTO and the SEM image is shown in Fig. 3c, the deposition temperature contributes to the surface change from nanoparticles to nano-flowers. When the deposition temperature was increased beyond 100 °C, the NiS started to peel off from the FTO substrate. We obtained good adhesion of the thin NiS layers deposited at 80 to 100 °C on the FTO substrate. When the deposition temperature increased more than 100 °C, the NiS starts to peel off from FTO substrate. In the present work, we obtained good adhesion of the thin NiS layers deposited at 80 to 100 °C on the FTO substrate. When the degree of adhesion of the active materials (NiS) on FTO used as the CE is the crucial factor in determining the efficiency of the QDSSCs. If the materials do not stick to the FTO, they may be peeled off from the FTO substrate and released into the electrolyte, thereby decreasing the efficiency of the QDSSCs.

The current density –voltage characteristics of NiS CE is shown in Fig. 4. The efficiency (\( \eta \)), fill factor (FF), open circuit voltage (\( V_{oc} \)), and current density (\( J_{sc} \)) are summarized in Table 1. Higher performance with a conversion efficiency \( \eta \) of 3.30%, \( V_{oc} \) of 0.502 V, and \( J_{sc} \) of 13.70 mA cm\(^{-2}\) was obtained for the QDSSC fabricated using the NiS 90 CE. This is primarily because of the relatively low charge transfer resistance at the interface of the CE and electrolyte. Meanwhile, the NiS 80 and NiS 100 CEs exhibited \( \eta \) values of 2.47% and 2.67%, indicating moderate electro-catalytic activity for the redox polysulfide electrolyte.
Fig. 5, shows the simulated I–V, PV curves and performance of the QDSSC with different deposition temperatures 80, 90 and 100 °C. The simulated I–V characteristics coincided with the experimental tendency. The simulation method in this study is suitable for simulating the I–V characteristics of a QDSSC according to the deposition temperature. These extracted data from experiment were applied to the module in matlab in order to simulate the performance of the solar cell. From the comparison, it is seen that an increase in temperature leads to an increase in the open circuit voltage and a slight decrease in the short circuit current. Table I shows the I–V characteristics of quantum dot sensitized NiS CE from the experimental samples. The QDSSC was found to be more efficient when employing NiS CE fabricated at deposition temperature of 90 °C. It showed high η (%) when compared to that of Pt CE. As the JSC value increases there is also an increase in the efficiency temperature. Later, as the temperature was increased further the JSC, VOC, and FF started to decrease. The poorer performance of the Pt CE is due to the strong adsorption of sulfur compounds on the surface of the Pt, which reduces the surface activity and the conductivity of the Pt CE [19].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NiS 80</th>
<th>NiS 90</th>
<th>NiS 100</th>
<th>Pt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V oc (V)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jsc (mAcm-2)</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η (%)</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs (Ω)</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCE (Ω)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>17.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (µF)</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zw (Ω)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result, a low FF (0.33) is achieved by the Pt CE-based QDSSCs. The photovoltaic results indicate that the NiS 90 acts as an efficient CE for the QDSSC. When the maximum power is similar, the overall efficiency is also similar because it is calculated by the equation as follows:

$$\eta = \frac{P_{\text{MAX}}}{P_{\text{IN}}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where P_{\text{MAX}} is maximum power and P_{\text{IN}} is irradiated power into the cell. The power output of QDSSC increases with increase in value of voltage or current. When it reaches a maximum value at optimum value of voltage or current and then starts decreasing, reaches zero value at open circuit voltage or short circuit current so when the temperature was set to 90 °C. The power output reached its peak and the

Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) Simulated I–V and PV curves of a QDSSC with different NiS counter electrode deposition temperature

The NiS 90 CE shows the highest catalytic activity, and the NiS 80 and NiS 100 CEs show moderate catalytic activity. The performance increase was caused by the increase in JSC, VOC, and FF with the increase in the
Fig. 6. characteristics of solar module for varying solar irradiation

temperature was still increased the power output reached its maximum peak and started to decrease as the deposition temperature was increased. Fig. 6, shows that increase in the irradiance leads to a small increase in the open circuit voltage and a large increase in short circuit current. Moreover, the power characteristics also show the aspects including a proportional increase followed by an exponential decrease after attainment of threshold voltage. Increasing solar irradiation impacts positively on the power characteristics, produces high power for a constant voltage.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to characterize the charge transfer kinetics of QDSSCs [27]. The obtained Nyquist plots for the frequency range of 100 mHz–500 kHz and in which the inset illustrates the equivalent circuit used to fit the Nyquist plots are shown in Fig. 7a and 7b. Impedance analysis software Z-view was used to model the impedance spectra based on equivalent circuit consisting of a series of two RC circuits with Warburg’s impedance (Z_w) as shown in the inset of Fig. 7a. A series resistance (R_s) is added to the circuit to account for the nonzero intercept on the real axis of the impedance plot which represents the sheet resistance of FTO. The electron transfer resistance (R_CE) at counter electrode/electrolyte, charge transfer resistance (R_ct) at photo electrode/electrolyte interface and the chemical capacitance (Cµ) and Z_w were directly obtained from the fit. Electrochemical parameters determined from EIS analysis are summarized in Table 1. The R_s values of NiS 80, NiS 90 and NiS 100 electrodes are 7.05, 7.03 and 7.33 Ω respectively. The low R_s value could be attributed due to the catalytic material attached to the FTO substrate. The results show that the QDSSCs fabricated using NiS 90 exhibited low value of R_CE and Z_w indicating faster electron transport at the interface of CE/electrolyte. The RCE value of the Pt, NiS 80, NiS 90 CE and NiS 100 CEs were found to be 17.34, 1.02, 0.76 Ω and 0.9 Ω, respectively. The lower value of NiS 90 CE confirms that the superior electro catalytic activity in the reduction of polysulfide electrolyte than the Pt, NiS 80 and NiS 100 CEs. The low R_CE value indicates an increase in QDSSC efficiency due to the high electron transfer rate at the interface of CE/electrolyte [8]. The higher Z_w value of Pt based QDSSC confirms the inability of electrode with polysulfide electrolyte and leads to a drastic decrease of FF and affecting overall performance of cell [28, 29]. Moreover, high Cµ of NiS 90 QDSSCs is due to higher surface area leading to better catalytic activity [30]. The Cµ values of NiS 80, NiS 90, NiS 100 and Pt CEs were found to be 20.16, 56.3, 23.71 and 4.6 µF respectively. The NiS 90 CE exhibits high Cµ value than the other CEs. The low Z_w value of NiS 90 CE (0.77 Ω) denotes better electrolyte diffusion, facilitates faster mass transport of electron and increases the performance of QDSSC by increasing J_sc. Also, the Pt electrode based QDSSC showed large electron transfer resistance at the CE/FTO interface which results in a low FF. The improved J_sc and fill factor are due to small electron transfer resistance of the counter electrode and were confirmed through the EIS analysis.
Fig. 8, shows the Bode plot of NiS CE-based QDSSC. Bode plot is attributed to find the life time of electrons (τₑ) and this can be calculated using the equation, \( τ_e = \frac{1}{2\pi f_{\text{max}}} \) where \( f_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum frequency.

Fig. 8. Bode (frequency) versus phase plots of the QDSSC, obtained from the EIS on NiS CE

The larger the τₑ value in QDSSC the more the electron life time and diffusion rate. The highest electron life time can be seen for NiS 90 (103.37µs) which shows a lower recombination rate with polysulfide Sn²⁺ electrolyte and a faster diffusion rate of electrons [32]. The decreased τₑ is due to increase in the charge recombination rate of the injected electrons at the electrolyte. As shown in the Fig. 6 the τₑ value for NiS 80 (70 µs) and NiS 100 is lower when compared to NiS 90(70 µs)

The absorbance spectra of NiS thin films fabricated at different deposition temperatures are shown in Fig. 9, as shown in this figure, absorbance increases with increase in temperature. These spectra reveal that films, grown under the same parametric conditions have low absorbance in the visible and near infrared regions. However, absorbance in the ultraviolet region is high. Enhanced absorption is observed in the neighborhood of 360 nm. The maximum absorption peak shifts towards the longer wavelength with increase in the deposition temperature. At 550 nm both NiS 90 and NiS 100 seems to be same but as the wavelength increases there was a decrease in the absorbance of NiS 100. Hence we can say NiS 90 has more absorbance when compared to others.

Fig. 9. Shows the absorbance spectra of a NiS counter electrode

5. Conclusion

In this study, the NiS counter electrode deposition temperature was adjusted to improve the efficiency. To simulate the temperature and its improvement, a solar cell with different temperatures (NiS 80, NiS 90, NiS 100) was modeled using the matlab simulink tool. The simulation method was matlab simulink script file on the basis of parameter extraction from experimental data. The simulation showed the I–V and PV characteristics of the cell according to the NiS Counter Electrode temperature with good accuracy. Further, it was confirmed that it is possible to get the highest efficiency at NiS 90 °C from the experimental result of a fabricated module. Results showed that the NiS CE may be suitable to replace the other metal sulfide electrodes and the expensive conventional Pt CE.
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