The Study of Taxi Subsidy Scheme based on Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation of the Perspective of the Driver
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Abstract
In the study of taxi subsidy scheme for "ease taxi difficult" issue, from the driver's angle, regard income levels, soliciting risk, self-selection, technical level these evaluation factors as an evaluation index. Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation model based on the law of entropy, analyze the date of drivers' satisfaction before and after the taxi subsidy scheme, the conclusion of the implemented of the taxi subsidy programs have improve the driver's efficiency and satisfaction was put forward. In some degree, ease the problem of taxi issue.
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1. Introduction
With the the rise of the mobile phone terminal application software about the taxi, breaking the traditional backdrop about the passengers’ behavior to search a taxi by their prediction subjective personal experience, as a novelty under the mobile Internet applications, socialization taxi software develop rapidly, batch drops like a taxi, fast taxi and other taxi software appear. So since the rise of the taxi software, it has become one of the most talked about mobile Internet software, it also caused a shock to the taxi market. Taxi software companies in order to expand the market, to seize market share, have introduced a series of subsidy policies, many scholars have studied and analyzed the behavior patterns of the software involved in the taxi. Cai Jiming, Jiang Ning[1] pointed out that the country's major cities’ difficult to travel, difficult to get a taxi to the daily work and life of the city residents become the norm, seriously affecting the well-being of residents and social productive efficiency. Cao Wei with other people[2] analyzes the impact of a taxi software usage on the rate of empty vehicle running. The balance between supply and demand of taxi operators in fixed demand is analyzed by Bian Yang[3], and the balance model of urban taxi network is established. Tan Mingliang[4] based on swot analysis, establish strategic SWOT Analysis Matrix software, draw current taxi software in some extent solve the ubiquitous "taxi difficult" issue, but there are many problems and challenges. Kong Fanmin[5] with other people by establishing Multi-level evaluation about passengers' and the drivers’ benefits, use fuzzy mathematics method to evaluate the economic benefits of a taxi. Zhou Lixia[6] with other people based on the practice of the United States on the Uber business model supervision, but at the same time, it also puts forward new challenges to government regulation, whether the implementation of a taxi software subsidy policy can effectively ease the taxi difficult problem needs to be further studied.

2. The Driver Satisfaction Model for Their Own Benefit
When analysis the subsidy program was introduced before and after, "Taxi difficult" issue whether can get relief, from the driver's angle, subsidies for their satisfaction was carried out quantitative analysis before and after the quantitative. The fuzzy comprehensive evaluation method, which is based on the membership degree theory of fuzzy mathematics, transforms the qualitative evaluation into quantitative evaluation, and clearly and systematically solves the problem of fuzzy and difficult to quantify[7].

2.1 Set Factors which is for Determining the Datisfaction Degree of Drivers' Satisfaction
According to some drivers’ date of 2013–2014 taxi software market analysis report", setting income levels, soliciting risk, self-selection, technical level for the evaluation factor, set for the evaluation factor as: \( u = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \). Before the introduction of the
subsidy scheme, set income levels and soliciting risk as their evaluation factors. Set for the evaluation factor as: \( u_2 = (y_1, y_2) \).

### 2.2 The Evaluation of the Drivers’ Benefit and Their Satisfaction Degree

In order to measure the driver's satisfaction about the quality of the car, the driver is divided into four grades. The degree of satisfaction evaluation is as the table 1 below.

Table 1 Classify the evaluation sets of drivers traveling satisfied with the quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Factors</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Income level</td>
<td>( &gt;0.4 )</td>
<td>( 0.2 &lt; \mu_1 \leq 0.4 )</td>
<td>( 0.1 &lt; \mu_1 \leq 0.2 )</td>
<td>( \geq 0.1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Soliciting risk</td>
<td>( \geq 0.05 )</td>
<td>( 0.05 &lt; \mu_2 \leq 0.18 )</td>
<td>( 0.18 &lt; \mu_2 \leq 0.25 )</td>
<td>( &gt; 0.25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Independent choice</td>
<td>( &gt;0.3 )</td>
<td>( 0.1 &lt; \mu_3 \leq 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 0.01 &lt; \mu_3 \leq 0.1 )</td>
<td>( \leq 0.01 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Technical level</td>
<td>( &gt;0.3 )</td>
<td>( 0.2 &lt; \mu_4 \leq 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 0.1 &lt; \mu_4 \leq 0.2 )</td>
<td>( \leq 0.1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.3 Establish the Membership Function to Evaluation Factors

Establish the Soliciting risk drop half trapezoidal distribution and establish the income level, independent choice and technical level liter half trapezoidal distribution.

\[
\alpha_1 = \begin{cases} 
1 & 0 \leq \mu_2 \leq 0.05 \\
0.25 - \mu_2 & 0.05 \leq \mu_2 \leq 0.25 \\
0 & \mu_2 \geq 0.25 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha_2 = \begin{cases} 
\mu_2 - 0.05 & 0.05 \leq \mu_2 \leq 0.18 \\
0.25 - \mu_2 & 0.18 \leq \mu_2 \leq 0.25 \\
0 & \mu_2 \leq 0.05, \mu_2 \geq 0.25 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\alpha_3 = \begin{cases} 
\mu_2 - 0.18 & 0.18 \leq \mu_2 \leq 0.25 \\
0 & \mu_2 \geq 0.25 \\
0 & \mu_2 \leq 0.18 
\end{cases}
\]

#### 2.4 Establish Weight Set of Evaluation Factors

Because of the income level, self selection, technical level, soliciting risk to affect the degree of satisfaction of drivers differently, each index should be given different weights. According to the factors that affect the degree of satisfaction of the driver, the weight of the principle to determine the size of the weight.

Calculate the i-th items’ proportion at the j-th index:

\[
p_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij}}{\sum_{i=2}^{m} r_{ij}}
\]

Calculate the j-th index’ entropy \( e_j \)

\[
e_j = -k \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_{ij} \cdot \ln p_{ij}
\]

Among them, \( k = \frac{1}{\ln n} \)

Calculate the j-th index’ entropy weights \( w_j \):

\[
w_j = \frac{1 - e_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - e_j)}
\]

Determine the index of comprehensive weight \( \beta_j \)

\[
\beta_j = \frac{\alpha_j \omega_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \omega_i}
\]

When considering the weight of four evaluation factors for the satisfaction of the drivers to their own benefit, the entropy weight method can be used to the weight vector \( \omega = (0.569, 0.11, 0.254, 0.006) \).

### 3. Drivers Benefit Evaluation

Combining the weighting of evaluation factor with single factor matrix and getting fuzzy comprehensive results \( S_1 : s_1^* = (0.070, 0.242, 0.220, 0.282, 0.224) \).

Similarly according to the above steps other evaluation factors of fuzzy comprehensive evaluation results can be got, fuzzy comprehensive evaluation matrix is:

\[
S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 
0.117 & 0.403 & 0.279 & 0.124 & 0.025 \\
0.555 & 0.300 & 0.131 & 0.02 & 0.003 \\
0.183 & 0.306 & 0.261 & 0.160 & 0.093 
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Among them, $s_1$ is drivers’ income level; $s_2$ is soliciting risk; $s_3$ is the ability to choose; $s_4$ is the skill level. Whereby the fuzzy evaluation matrix of the drivers' own income satisfaction level can be obtained: $S' = \{s_1', s_2', s_3', s_4', s_5'\}$

Then based on fuzzy matrix composite calculation and normalization process to obtain drivers' satisfaction with their own earnings fuzzy comprehensive evaluation vector: $Y_2 = [0.202, 0.274, 0.203, 0.186, 0.135]$

Before the subsidy program, the driver of their own income to measure satisfaction factor income levels and soliciting risks. Both evaluation factor fuzzy evaluation matrix as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
S_1'
S_2'
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0.060 & 0.211 & 0.200 & 0.227 & 0.199 \\
0.110 & 0.328 & 0.227 & 0.116 & 0.018
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Among them, $s_1$ is drivers' income level; $s_2$ is soliciting risk.

Fuzzy matrix composite calculation and normalization process to obtain the subsidy on their own earnings driver satisfaction fuzzy comprehensive evaluation vector as is: $Y_2' = (0.086, 0.284, 0.240, 0.223, 0.167)$ . Select subsidy program before and after the implementation, the driver both income levels and soliciting risk factors, plotted compare see Figure 1.

**Figure 1.Subsidy program before and after the driver income levels and soliciting comprehensive risk evaluation results**

Combination of the above model, from the driver's point of view before and after the implementation of the subsidy program ride quality satisfaction rating:

Income levels: For comprehensive evaluation matrix by value drivers revenue blur before and after the implementation of the subsidy program, summed to obtain the subsidy policy adopted, the driver can raise income levels, stimulate the enthusiasm of drivers.

From soliciting risk: Since the driver using a mobile phone software grab one form time to time, it will result in lower safety factor, in the taxi software real name system is not perfect, the driver also face the risk of default of the passengers, so after the introduction of the subsidy scheme, the driver of soliciting also it will increase the risk. On the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation system for the first implementation of the program is reflected after each data is greater than the subsidy program implementation.

Independent choose the fuzzy evaluation matrix of values and skills evaluation matrix paste most in a reasonable level indicator, which shows the taxi software company in the implementation of the subsidy policy, the driver can ensure a certain degree of subjectivity to judge what kind of guests to pull their own, price levels can also have some options, but everything has two sides, the driver master autonomy raised, passengers may face a situation the driver to pick off. The technical level of reasonable degree, for the drivers and passengers to ensure effective information docking.
4. Conclusions

According to the above analysis can drawn, each company implemented subsidy programs solve the taxi driver’s high empty loading rate in a certain extent and the problem can not pull the guests. In addition, the income level of the driver has also been improved, too much enthusiasm was aroused. However, in the taxi software real name system is not perfect, the taxi driver also face the risk of default of the passengers, so after the introduction of the subsidy scheme, soliciting risk drivers also will be elevated.

References


