

Connectedness via Quasi I-Open Sets

P. Kalaiselvi, N. Rajesh

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion quasi I-separated sets and study some of their basic properties.

1 . INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The subject of ideals in topological spaces has been introduced and studied by Kuratowski [3] and Vaidyanathasamy [7]. An ideal I on a topological space (X, τ) is a nonempty collection of subsets of

X which satisfies (i) $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$ implies $B \in I$ and

(ii) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$. Given a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X and if $P(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X , then the set operator $(.)^*$: $P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, called the local function [7] of A with respect to τ and I , is defined as follows: for $A \subset X$, $A^*(\tau, I) = \{ x \in X / \cup \cap A \notin I, \text{ for every open set } U \text{ containing } x \}$. A Kuratowski closure operator $Cl^*(.)$ for a topology $\tau^*(\tau, I)$ called the $*$ -topology, finer than τ is defined by

$Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*(\tau, I)$. When there is no chance for confusion, $A^*(\tau, I)$ is denoted by A^* . If I is an ideal on X , then (X, τ, I) is called an ideal topological space. By a space, we always mean a topological space (X, τ) with no separation properties assumed. If $A \subset X$, $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ will denote the closure and interior of A in (X, τ) , respectively. A subset A of (X, τ) is said to be β -open [1] if $A \subset Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$. The complement of a β -open set is called a β -closed [1] set. In this paper, we introduce the notion quasi I-separated sets and study some of their basic properties.

2 . PRELIMINARIES

A subset S of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is quasi I-open [2] if $S \subset Cl(Int(S^*))$.

The complement of a quasi I-open set is called a quasi

I-closed [2] set. The intersection of all quasi I-closed (resp. β -closed) sets containing S is called the quasi I-closure (resp. quasi β -closure) of S and is denoted by $qICl(S)$ (resp. $\beta Cl(S)$). The family of all quasi I-open (resp. quasi

I-closed) sets of (X, τ, I) is denoted by $QIO(X)$ (resp. $QIC(X)$).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54D05, 54C08, 54B05.

Key words and phrases. quasi I-separated set, quasi I-connected space.

The family of all quasi I-open (resp. quasi I-closed) sets of (X, τ, I) containing a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $QIO(X, x)$ (resp. $QIC(X, x)$).

3. ON QUASI I –SEPARATED SETS

Definition 3.1. Two nonempty subsets A and B of a topological space (X, τ) are said to be quasi I-separated if $A \cap qICl(B) = qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. If $X = A \cup B$ such that A and B are quasi I-separated sets, then we say that A and B form an quasi I-separation of X .

Remark 3.2. Each two quasi I-separated sets are always disjoint, since $A \cap B \subset A \cap qICl(B) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.3. For the subsets A and B of a topological space (X, τ) , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A and B are quasi I-separated.
- (2) There exist quasi I-closed sets F_1 and F_2 satisfying $A \subset F_1 \subset (X \setminus B)$ and $B \subset F_2 \subset (X \setminus A)$.
- (3) There exist quasi I-open sets G_1 and G_2 satisfying $A \subset G_1 \subset (X \setminus B)$ and $B \subset G_2 \subset (X \setminus A)$.

Proof. The proof is clear.

Proposition 3.4. Let A and B be subsets of a topological space (X, τ) . If A and B are quasi I-separated, $\emptyset \neq C \subset A$ and $\emptyset \neq D \subset B$, then C and D are quasi I-separated.

Proof. Since A and B are quasi I-separated sets, $A \cap qICl(B) = \emptyset$ and $qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. By hypothesis $C \subset A$, we have $qICl(C) \cap D = \emptyset$. Similarly, we have $C \cap qICl(D) = \emptyset$. Therefore, C and D are quasi I-separated sets.

Theorem 3.5. If for an quasi I-closed subset S of a topological space (X, τ) , A and B are quasi I-separated sets such that $S = A \cup B$, then A and B are quasi I-closed sets.

Proof. Let $S = A \cup B$, where $qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap qICl(B)$. Now, $S \cap qICl(A) = (A \cup B) \cap qICl(A) = A$. As the intersection of quasi I-closed sets is quasi I-closed, A is quasi I-closed. Similarly B is quasi I-closed.

Theorem 3.6. Let A and B be nonempty subsets in a topological space (X, τ) . The following statements hold:

- (1) If A and B are quasi I-separated and $A_1 \subset A, B_1 \subset B$, then A_1 and B_1 are so.
- (2) If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ such that A and B are quasi I-closed (quasi I-open), then A and B are quasi I-separated.
- (3) If A and B are quasi I-closed (quasi I-open) and $H = A \cap (X \setminus B)$ and $G = B \cap (X \setminus A)$, then H and G are quasi I-separated.

Pr oof.(1). Since $A_1 \subset A, qICl(A_1) \subset qICl(A)$. Then $B \cap qICl(A) = \emptyset$ implies $B_1 \cap qICl(A) = \emptyset$ and $B_1 \cap qICl(A_1) = \emptyset$. Similarly $A_1 \cap qICl(B_1) = \emptyset$. Hence A_1 and B_1 are quasi I-separated.

(2). Since $A = qICl(A), B = qICl(B)$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset, qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $qICl(B) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence A and B are quasi I-separated sets. If A and B are quasi I-open, then their complements are quasi I-closed.

(3). If A and B are quasi I-open, then $X \setminus A$ and $X \setminus B$ are quasi I-closed. Since $H \subset X \setminus B, qICl(H) \subset qICl(X \setminus B) = X \setminus B$ and so $qICl(H) \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $G \cap qICl(H) = \emptyset$. Similarly, $H \cap qICl(G) = \emptyset$. Hence H and G are quasi I-separated sets.

Theorem 3.7. The sets A and B of a topological space (X, τ) are quasi I-separated if, and only if there exist $U, V \in QIO(X, \tau)$ such that $A \subset U, B \subset V, A \cap V = \emptyset$ and $B \cap U = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let A and B be quasi I-separated sets. Set $V = X \setminus qICl(A)$ and $U = X \setminus qICl(B)$. Then $U, V \in QIO(X, \tau)$ such that $A \subset U, B \subset V, A \cap V = \emptyset$ and $B \cap U = \emptyset$. On the other hand, let $U, V \in QIO(X, \tau)$ such that $A \subset U, B \subset V, A \cap V = \emptyset$ and $B \cap U = \emptyset$. Since $X \setminus V$ and $X \setminus U$ are quasi I-closed sets, $qICl(A) \subset X \setminus V \subset X \setminus B$ and $qICl(B) \subset X \setminus U \subset X \setminus A$. Thus $qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $qICl(B) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.8. Let A and B be nonempty disjoint subsets of a topological space (X, τ) and $E = A \cup B$. Then A and B are quasi-separated if and only if each of A and B are quasi I-closed (quasi I-open) in E .

Proof. Let A and B be quasi I-separated sets. By Definition 3.1, A contains no quasi I-limit points of B . Then B contains all quasi I-limit points of B which are in $A \cup B$ and B is quasi I-closed in $A \cup B$. Therefore B is quasi I-closed in E . Similarly A is quasi I-closed in E .

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. If A and B are quasi-separated sets of X itself, then A and B are quasi I-closed sets of (X, τ) .

Proof. Since A and B are quasi-separated, $A \cap qIcl(B) = qIcl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Then $A \cap qIcl(B) = \emptyset$ if, and only if B is quasi I-closed in $A \cup B = X$. Similarly, we can show that A is quasi I-closed in X .

4. PROPERTIES OF QUASI I – CONNECTED SPACES

In this section, we introduce and study quasi I-connected spaces and also investigate some of their basic properties.

Definition 4.1. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be Quasi I-connected if it cannot be expressed as the union of two quasi I-separated sets. Otherwise, the set A is called quasi I-disconnected.

Lemma 4.2. Let $A \subset B \cup C$ such that A be a nonempty quasi I-connected set in a topological space (X, τ) and B, C be quasi I-separated sets. Then only one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $A \subset B$ and $A \cap C = \emptyset$.

(2) $A \subset C$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $A \cap C = \emptyset$, $A \subset B$. Also, if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $A \subset C$. Since $A \subset B \cap C$, then both $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap C = \emptyset$ cannot hold simultaneously. Similarly, suppose that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap C = \emptyset$, then by Theorem 3.6 (1), $A \cap B$ and $A \cap C$ are quasi I-separated sets such that $A = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$ which contradicts with the quasi I-connectedness of A . Hence one of the conditions (1) and (2) must be hold.

Theorem 4.3. If an quasi I-connected set S of a topological space (X, τ) is contained in $A \cup B$, where A and B are quasi I-separated sets, then either

$S \subset A$ or $S \subset B$.

Proof. We have $S = (S \cap A) \cup (S \cap B)$ where $S \cap A$ and $S \cap B$ are quasi I-separated sets. So either $S \cap A = \emptyset$ or $S \cap B = \emptyset$ and hence either $S \subset B$ or $S \subset A$.

Theorem 4.4. A subset M of a topological space (X, τ) is an quasi I-connected set if there exists an quasi I-connected set C satisfying $C \subset M \subset qIcl(C)$.

Proof. Let $M = A \cup B$, where A and B are quasi I-separated sets. Then either $C \subset A$ and $C \subset B$ and hence either $M \subset qIcl(C) \subset qIcl(A) \subset (X \setminus B)$ or $M \subset (X \setminus A)$. Therefore either $B = \emptyset$ or $A = \emptyset$.

Corollary 4.5. If C is an quasi I-connected set of a topological space (X, τ) , then $qIcl(C)$ is so.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.6. If $\{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a family of quasi I-connected sets of a topological space (X, τ) satisfying the property that any two of which are not quasi I-separated, then $M = \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$ is quasi I-connected

Proof. Let $M = A \cup B$, where A and B are quasi I-separated sets. Then for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ either $M_\alpha \subset A$ or $M_\alpha \subset B$. Since any two members of the family $\{M_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ are not quasi I-separated, either $M_\alpha \subset A$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ or $M_\alpha \subset B$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. So either $B = \emptyset$ or $A = \emptyset$.

Corollary 4.7. If $M = \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$, where each M_α is quasi I-connected set in a topological space (X, τ) and also $M_\alpha \cap M_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$ for $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Delta$, then M is quasi I-connected.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.6.

Corollary 4.8. If $M = \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$, where each M_α is quasi I connected in a topological space (X, τ) and $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then M is quasi I connected

Proof. Suppose that $\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$ is not quasi I-connected. Then we have $\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha = H \cup G$, where H and G are quasi I-separated sets in X. Since $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, we have a point x in $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$. Since $x \in \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$, either $x \in G$ or $x \in H$. Suppose that $x \in H$. Since $x \in M_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then M_α and H intersect for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. By Theorem 4.3, $M_\alpha \subset H$ or $M_\alpha \subset G$. Since H and G are disjoint, $M_\alpha \subset H$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and hence $\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha \subset H$. This implies that G is empty. This is contradiction. Suppose that $x \in G$. By similar way, we have that H is empty. This is a contradiction. Thus $\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} M_\alpha$ is quasi I-connected

Theorem 4.9. For a topological space (X, τ) , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is quasi I-connected.
- (2) X can not be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint Quasi I-open sets.
- (3) X contains no nonempty proper subset which is both quasi I-open and quasi I-closed.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that X is quasi I-connected and if X can be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets A and B such that A and B are quasi I-open sets. Consequently $A \subset X \setminus B$. Then $qICl(A) \subset qICl(X \setminus B) = X \setminus B$. Therefore, $qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$. Similarly we can prove $A \cap qICl(B) = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction to the fact that X is quasi I-connected. Therefore, X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint quasi I-open sets.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose that X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets A and B such that A and B are quasi I-open sets. If X contains a nonempty proper subset A which is both quasi I-open and quasi I-closed. Then $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$. Hence A and $X \setminus A$ are disjoint quasi I-open sets whose union is X. This is the contradiction to our assumption. Hence X contains no nonempty proper subset which is both quasi I-open and quasi I-closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that X contains no nonempty proper subset which is both quasi I-open and quasi I-closed and X is not quasi I-connected. Then X can be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets A and B such that $(A \cap qICl(B)) \cup (qICl(A) \cap B) = \emptyset$. Since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A = X \setminus B$ and $B = X \setminus A$. Since $qICl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$, $qICl(A) \subset X \setminus B$. Hence $qICl(A) \subset A$. Therefore, A is quasi I-closed. Similarly, B is quasi I-closed. Since $A = X \setminus B$, A is quasi I-open. Therefore, there exists a nonempty proper set A which is both quasi I-open and quasi I-closed. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore, X is quasi I-connected.

Theorem 4.10. A topological space (X, τ) is quasi I-connected if, and only if X is not the union of any two quasi I-separated sets

Proof. Let A and B be any two quasi I-separated sets such that $X = A \cup B$. Therefore $qICl(A) \cap B = A \cap qICl(B) = \emptyset$. Since $A \subset qICl(A)$ and $B \subset qICl(B)$, then $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Now $qICl(A) \subset X \setminus B = A$. Hence $qICl(A) = A$. Then A is quasi I-closed. By the same way we can show that B is quasi I-closed which contradicts with Theorem 4.9 (2). Conversely, let A and B be any two disjoint non empty and quasi I-closed sets of X such that $X = A \cup B$. Then $qICl(A) \cap B = A \cap qICl(B) = A \cap B = \emptyset$, which contradicts with the hypothesis

Theorem 4.11. A topological space (X, τ) is quasi I-connected if, and only if for every pair of points x, y in X , there is an quasi I-connected subset of X which contains both x and y .

Proof. The necessity is immediate since the quasi I-connected space itself contains these two points. For the sufficiency, suppose that for any two points x and y , there is an quasi I-connected subset $C_{x,y}$ of X such that $x, y \in C_{x,y}$. Let $a \in X$ be a fixed point and $\{C_{a,x} : x \in X\}$ be a class of all Quasi I-connected subsets of X which contain the points a and x . Then $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_{a,x}$ and $\bigcap_{x \in X} C_{a,x} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore by Corollary 4.8, X is quasi I-connected

REFERENCES

- [1] M.E.Abd El-Monsef, S.N.El-Deep and R.A.Mahmoud, open sets and continuous mappings, Bull.Fac.Sci.Assint Univ.,12(1983),77-90
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, R. A. Mohmoud and A. A. Nasef, On quasi I-openness and quasi I-continuity, Tamkang J. Math.,31(1)(2000), 101-108.
- [3] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [4] R. A. Mahmoud M. E. Abd El-Monsef, -irresolute and-topological invariant, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.,27(1990), 285-296.
- [5] A. A. Nasef, On Hausdorff spaces via ideals and quasi I-irresolute functions, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals,14(2002), 619-625.
- [6] R. Staum, The algebra of bounded continuous fuctions into a nonarchimedean-field, Pacic J. Math., 50(1974), 169-185.
- [7] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localisation theory in set topology, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20(1945), 51-61.



Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University College, Orathanadu,
Thanjavur-614 625, TamilNadu, India.

E-mail address : gopalond@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Govt.College, Thanjavur-13005, Tamilnadu, India.

E-mail address : nrajesh_topology@yahoo.co.in