

Novel applications of food grade organic acid and organic salt on corrosion of carbon steel in hydrogen peroxide as environmental corrosive media

M.A. Hegazy^{1*}, Saedah R. Al-Mhyawi², H. A. Emam³, M. F. Elhadi³,

A. Abd Elnaser³

¹Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute (EPRI), Nasr City, 11727, Cairo, Egypt

²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences - AL Faisaliah, King Abdulaziz University

P.O. Box 2321, Jeddah 21451, Saudi Arabia

³Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, 11787, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Some of organic acids and salts used in food industries as food additives to preserve the important minerals in food, these compounds were investigated also as corrosion inhibitors of carbon steel in environment corrosive solution such as hydrogen peroxide H_2O_2 . Using two techniques, weight loss and potentiodynamic polarization methods at 25 °C, and the result shows that both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate have good corrosion inhibition properties for carbon steel in different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). The results show also that trisodium citrate is effective and better than tartaric acid as corrosion inhibitors of carbon steel in hydrogen peroxide solution. Weight loss and Tafel results show that corrosion rate of carbon steel decreases with increasing the concentration of both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate. Tafel curves reveal that both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate act as a mixed type inhibitor.

Keywords: Food grade; Carbon steel; Weight loss; Polarization; Acid inhibition.

1. Introduction

The major importance in food manufacture is the freedom of the finished product from contamination by microbes (spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms) and foreign bodies. Contamination in food products may arise from four main sources: the constituent raw materials, surfaces, people (and animals), and the air.

Food may become contaminated as it moves across product contact surfaces or if it comes into contact with food operatives or pests. Air may act as a source of contamination (either from outside the processing area) or inside a transport medium [1].

Freedom from microbial and foreign body contamination is essential in food production. The principal means for controlling the surface route of contamination is sanitation (cleaning and disinfection). After the cleaning process, disinfection plays a crucial role in further reducing microbial numbers and viability. However; disinfectants used in the food industry may contaminate the product. Therefore, to be effective and suitable for factory use, such disinfectants must also be non-toxic and non-tainting. The author describes the usage criteria and operator safety requirements of disinfectants, together with methods to determine taint potential, toxicity and efficacy. Considering these parameters, a limited number of disinfectants are judged suitable for general food industry use.

The biocidal efficacy of disinfectants has been assessed for many years and, in relation to food hygiene, disinfectants are normally evaluated in suspension tests [2, 3].

A number of authors have shown that bacteria attached to various surfaces are more resistant to biocides than when the organisms are in suspension [3-10].

With respect to the old traditional chemicals used before, some chemical may be more effective but also it is toxic and not matched with food industries and processes, whereas some chemicals may be safe for food and human being may be not effective enough. Therefore, the need to safe as human being and also highly effective disinfectants become a great need.

The health Canada has approved the sale of disinfectant for food premises which contain hydrogen peroxide base and

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +20 1002653529; fax: +20 222747433. E-mail mohamed_hgazy@yahoo.com (M.A. Hegazy).

per-acetic acid base disinfectants approved for using H₂O₂ in food industry [11]. The urgent need for usage new generation and stabilized H₂O₂ due to the highly effectiveness of those products and also safety criteria for it for both food and human being, because the dissociation by products are safe and not toxic, but those products have corrosion properties for the metal.

The selection of tartaric acid as corrosion inhibitor due to its antioxidant properties as soon as the registration in European Union as food additives with (E number 334), Tartaric acid also has several applications for industrial use. Tartaric acid and its derivatives have a plethora of uses in the field of pharmaceuticals. For example, tartaric acid has been used in the production of effervescent salts, in combination with citric acid, in order to improve the taste of oral medications. The acid has been observed to chelate metal ions such as calcium and magnesium. Therefore, the acid has served in the farming and metal industries as a chelating agent for complexing micronutrients in soil fertilizer and for cleaning metal surfaces consisting of aluminium, copper, iron, and alloys of these metals, respectively [12,13].

The objective of this investigation is to determine the effect of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate as food grade organic compounds on corrosion of carbon steel in environmental corrosive media like as peracetic acid solution. The corrosion inhibition performance of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate for carbon steel in peracetic acid solution was investigated using weight loss and potentiodynamic polarization. A probable inhibitive mechanism is presented to explain the experimental observations.

2. Materials and experimental methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Carbon steel specimens

Carbon steel specimens of the following chemical composition (wt. %) were used in the experiment: 0.19% C, 0.05% Si, 0.94% Mn, 0.009% P, 0.004% S, 0.014% Ni, 0.009% Cr, 0.034% Al, 0.016% V, 0.003% Ti, 0.022% Cu, and balance Fe.

A pre-treatment was carried out prior to each experiment, in which specimen surface was mechanically grinded with 340, 400, 600, 800, 1000 and 1200 grades of emery paper, rinsed with bidistilled water, degreased in ethanol and dried at room temperature before use. They were also degreased with acetone and rinsed with distilled water two times and finally dried.

2.2. Weight loss measurements

The rectangular specimens of carbon steel (6 cm × 3 cm × 0.5 cm) were accurately weighed and then immersed for up to 24 h in a solution containing different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide solution with and without different concentrations of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate. Afterwards, the steel sheets were taken out, rinsed thoroughly with distilled water, dried, and accurately weighed.

2.3. Potentiodynamic polarization measurements

Potentiodynamic polarization experiments were carried out using a conventional three-electrode cell with a platinum counter electrode (CE) and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as a reference electrode. The working electrode (WE) was a carbon steel rod embedded in PVC holder using epoxy resin, so that the circular surface (0.34 cm²) was the working area. Before each measurement, the electrode was immersed in a test solution at open circuit potential (OCP) for 30 min, until a steady state was reached. All polarization curves were recorded by a Voltalab 40 Potentiostat PGZ 402 and a personal computer was used with Voltmaster 4 software at 25 °C. Each experiment was repeated at least three times to check the reproducibility. The potentiodynamic polarization measurements were obtained by changing the electrode potential automatically from -900 to -200 mV vs. Ag/AgCl at open circuit potential with a scan rate 0.2 mV s⁻¹ at 25 °C

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Weight loss results

The effect of food grade organic compounds, tartaric acid and trisodium citrate, on the corrosion of carbon steel in environmental corrosive media like as hydrogen peroxide solution (H₂O₂) was investigated by weight loss at 25 °C. The corrosion rate (*k*) was calculated by the following equation [14, 15]:

$$k = \frac{W}{St} \quad (1)$$

where ΔW is the average weight loss of three carbon steel sheets, *S* is the total area of one carbon steel specimen, and *t* is the immersion time. The inhibition efficiency (η_w) was calculated as follows [16-18]:

$$IE = \left(1 - \frac{W_{\text{CORR}}}{W_{\text{CORR}}^0} \right) \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where W_{CORR}^0 and W_{CORR} are the weight loss of carbon steel in the absence and presence of the inhibitor, respectively and (*IE*) is the inhibition efficiency.

Weight loss data of carbon steel in H_2O_2 in absence and presence of different concentrations of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate at 25 °C are listed in Table 1. It indicates that the corrosion inhibition efficiency of both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate increases with increasing the concentrations. This behavior may be attributed to adsorption of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate molecules on the metal surface.

3.1. Adsorption Isotherm

Basic information on the interaction between the inhibitor molecules and metal surfaces was provided by the adsorption isotherms. The values of surface coverage (θ) were calculated from the following equation [19] and obtained from weight loss measurements at 25 °C.

$$\theta = \left(1 - \frac{W_{\text{corr}}}{W_{\text{corr}}^0}\right) \quad (3)$$

where W_{corr}^0 and W_{corr} are the weight loss in the absence and presence of inhibitors, respectively.

A correlation between θ and inhibitor concentration in the corrosive medium was represented by the Langmuir adsorption isotherm [19, 20]:

$$\frac{C}{\theta} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{ads}}} + C \quad (4)$$

where K_{ads} is the equilibrium constant of the inhibitor adsorption process and C is the inhibitor concentration. Plotting of C/θ versus C yielded a straight line (supplementary, Fig. 1, 2) with slope close to 1.

K_{ads} values could be calculated from the intercepts of the straight lines on the C/θ axis, the K_{ads} was related to the standard free energy of adsorption, ΔG_{ads}^0 , according to the following equation:

$$\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^0 = -RT \ln (55.5 K_{\text{ads}}) \quad (5)$$

The value 55.5 in the above equation is the molar concentration of water in solution in mol dm^{-3} [21].

The thermodynamics parameters derived from Langmuir adsorption isotherms for the studied compounds, are given in Table 2. The negative values of ΔG_{ads}^0 along with the high K_{ads} indicate a spontaneous adsorption process [22, 23]. Generally, the energy values of -20 kJ mol⁻¹ or less negative are associated with an electrostatic interaction between charged molecules and charged metal surface, physisorption. While, those of -40 kJ mol⁻¹ or more negative were seen as chemisorption, which is due to the charge sharing or a transfer from the inhibitor molecules to the metal surface to form a covalent bond [24, 25]. The values of ΔG_{ads}^0 in our measurements suggested that the

adsorption of these products involves two types of interaction physisorption and chemisorption.

3.2. Potentiodynamic polarization

The potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 0.5, 1 and 1.5% of H_2O_2 solutions without and with different concentrations of tartaric acid after 30 min immersion at 25 °C are shown in Figs. 3-5. As can be seen from Figs. 3-5, the corrosion potentials in the presence of tartaric acid comparing with that in H_2O_2 without tartaric acid are shifted to more negative direction, the cathodic branches are shifted to negative potential direction and the anodic branches are shifted to negative potential direction, which reveal that tartaric acid acts as a mixed type inhibitor [26-29]. Accordingly, the cathodic and anodic current density also decreases with increasing of tartaric acid, suggesting that tartaric acid inhibit both the anodic and cathodic reactions. Furthermore, we have seen from Figs. 3-5, corrosion current density values increases with increasing the concentration of H_2O_2 suggesting that H_2O_2 accelerate both the anodic and cathodic reactions indicating that the maximum acceleration of the anodic reaction occurs in H_2O_2 at 1.5 %. The potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 0.5, 1 and 1.5% H_2O_2 solutions without and with different concentrations of trisodium citrate after 30 min immersion at 25 °C are shown in Figs. 6-8. Figs. 6-8 showing that the corrosion potentials in the presence of trisodium citrate comparing with that in H_2O_2 without trisodium citrate are shifted to more negative direction and showing that trisodium citrate act as a mixed type inhibitor [30-32]. In addition, the cathodic and anodic current density also decreases with increasing trisodium citrate, suggesting that trisodium citrate inhibits both the anodic and cathodic reactions.

The corrosion inhibition efficiency (IE) was calculated using the following equation [33-36]:

$$IE = \frac{i_{\text{corr}}^0 - i_{\text{corr}}}{i_{\text{corr}}^0} \times 100 \quad (6)$$

where i_{corr}^0 and i_{corr} are the corrosion current density values without and with different concentrations of inhibitors, respectively.

The values of anodic Tafel slope (β_a), cathodic Tafel slope (β_c), corrosion current density (i_{corr}) and corrosion potential (E_{corr}) were calculated and listed in Tables 3&4. The results in Tables 3&4 show that corrosion inhibition efficiency of trisodium citrate is better than tartaric acid and this is due to acidity of tartaric acid.

3. Tables and Figures

Table 1

Weight loss data for carbon steel in different concentrations of H₂O₂ in the absence and presence of various concentrations of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate at 25 °C

Inhibitor name	Inhibitor conc. (M)	H ₂ O ₂								
		0.5 %			1.0 %			1.5 %		
		<i>k</i> (mg cm ⁻² h ⁻¹)	θ	η_w (%)	<i>k</i> (mg cm ⁻² h ⁻¹)	θ	η_w (%)	<i>k</i> (mg cm ⁻² h ⁻¹)	θ	η_w (%)
absence	0.00	45.99	-	-	63.01	-	-	203.13	-	-
TA	5.0x10 ⁻⁴	29.47	0.36	35.91	38.36	0.39	39.11	106.96	0.47	47.34
	7.5x10 ⁻⁴	22.10	0.52	51.93	26.30	0.58	58.27	76.09	0.63	62.54
	1.0x10 ⁻³	14.86	0.68	67.68	18.80	0.70	70.16	61.36	0.70	69.79
	2.5x10 ⁻³	12.83	0.72	72.10	15.50	0.75	75.40	46.37	0.77	77.17
TSC	5.0x10 ⁻³	9.40	0.80	79.56	11.94	0.81	81.05	33.28	0.84	83.61
	5.0x10 ⁻⁴	22.48	0.51	51.10	29.98	0.52	52.42	90.19	0.56	55.60
	7.5x10 ⁻⁴	19.05	0.59	58.56	22.87	0.64	63.71	69.23	0.66	65.92
	1.0x10 ⁻³	14.60	0.68	68.24	18.17	0.71	71.17	50.81	0.75	74.98
	2.5x10 ⁻³	8.13	0.82	82.32	9.53	0.85	84.88	27.82	0.86	86.30
	5.0x10 ⁻³	6.48	0.86	85.91	7.88	0.88	87.50	22.48	0.89	88.93

Table 2

Thermodynamic parameters of adsorption on carbon steel surface in different concentrations of H₂O₂ in the absence and presence of various concentrations of tartaric acid and trisodium citrate at 25 °C

Inhibitor Name	<i>K</i> _{ads} x10 ⁻⁴ (M ⁻¹)	ΔG°_{ads} (kJ mol ⁻¹)
Tartaric acid	0.17	-28.32
	0.20	-30.77
	0.25	-33.24
	1.27	-33.36
Trisodium citrate	1.51	-36.05
	1.76	-38.76

Table 3

Potentiodynamic polarization parameters for carbon steel in various concentrations of H₂O₂ solution in the absence and presence of different concentrations of TA at 25 °C

Conc.	<i>E</i> _{corr} (mV vs Ag/AgCl)	<i>i</i> _{corr} (μA cm ⁻²)	β_a (mV dec ⁻¹)	β_c (mV dec ⁻¹)	<i>IE</i> (%)
0.5 % H ₂ O ₂	-212.5	48.14	167.6	-149.2	-
5.0x10 ⁻⁴ M	-221	31.49	173.5	-155.9	34.59
7.5x10 ⁻⁴ M	-224.5	22.97	177.1	-165.5	52.27
1.0x10 ⁻³ M	-215	16.12	182.9	-161.5	66.50
2.5x10 ⁻³ M	-220	13.70	187.3	-163.4	71.54
5.0x10 ⁻³ M	-223	10.25	323.3	-145.7	78.70
1.0 % H ₂ O ₂	-160	63.96	177.5	-151.8	-
5.0x10 ⁻⁴ M	-163	38.37	179.9	-161.6	40.01
7.5x10 ⁻⁴ M	-166	27.73	180.0	-164.6	56.64
1.0x10 ⁻³ M	-168	20.10	178.5	-171.2	68.57
2.5x10 ⁻³ M	-169	16.77	183.5	-173.5	73.78
5.0x10 ⁻³ M	-170	13.06	194.8	-177.5	79.58
1.5 % H ₂ O ₂	-109.5	79.72	175.9	-140.5	-
5.0x10 ⁻⁴ M	-110.5	43.07	163.9	-157.7	45.97
7.5x10 ⁻⁴ M	-112	31.59	168.0	-161.5	60.37
1.0x10 ⁻³ M	-114.5	24.71	175.5	-158.2	69.00
2.5x10 ⁻³ M	-115	18.80	177.4	-164.2	76.42
5.0x10 ⁻³ M	-118.5	14.57	197.1	-207.8	81.72

Table 4

Potentiodynamic polarization parameters for carbon steel in various concentrations H_2O_2 solution in the absence and presence of different concentrations of TSC at 25 °C

Conc.	E_{corr} (mV vs Ag/AgCl)	i_{corr} (μA cm^{-2})	β_a (mV dec^{-1})	β_c (mV dec^{-1})	IE (%)
0.5 % H_2O_2	-212.5	48.14	167.6	-149.2	-
5.0×10^{-4} M	-214.0	25.00	173.8	-150.1	8.06
7.5×10^{-4} M	-218.5	20.02	177.9	-153.2	58.41
1.0×10^{-3} M	-223.0	15.90	179.7	-151.3	66.97
2.5×10^{-3} M	-227.5	9.14	154.4	-161.3	81.01
5.0×10^{-3} M	-230.5	7.14	187.4	-162.8	85.17
1.0 % H_2O_2	-160.0	63.96	177.5	-151.8	-
5.0×10^{-4} M	-162.0	30.76	181.1	-146.8	51.91
7.5×10^{-4} M	-163.0	24.06	179.4	-156.9	62.38
1.0×10^{-3} M	-164.0	18.85	183.4	-154.8	70.53
2.5×10^{-3} M	-166.0	10.57	204.0	-165.9	83.47
5.0×10^{-3} M	-167.5	8.47	195.9	-193.0	86.76
1.5 % H_2O_2	-109.5	79.72	175.9	-140.5	-
5.0×10^{-4} M	-111.0	37.10	177.3	-145.7	53.46
7.5×10^{-4} M	-112.0	28.36	179.9	-158.1	64.43
1.0×10^{-3} M	-113.0	20.99	182.1	-189.8	73.67
2.5×10^{-3} M	-116.5	11.39	196.0	-197.5	86.20
5.0×10^{-3} M	119.5	9.09	203.8	-209.2	88.60




Fig. 1: The Langmuir isotherm adsorption plot for carbon steel in different concentrations of H_2O_2 containing different concentration of the TSC at 25 °C.




Fig. 2: The Langmuir isotherm adsorption plot for carbon steel in different concentrations of H_2O_2 containing different concentration of the TA at 25 °C.




Fig. 3: Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 0.5 % H_2O_2 solution in absence and presence of different concentrations of TA at 25 °C.




Fig. 4: Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 1 % H₂O₂ solution in absence and presence of different concentrations of TA at 25 °C.




Fig. 6: Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 0.5 % H₂O₂ solution in absence and presence of different concentrations of TSC at 25 °C.




Fig. 5: Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 1.5 % H₂O₂ solution in absence and presence of different concentrations of TA at 25 °C.




Fig. 7: Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 1 % H₂O₂ solution in absence and presence of different concentrations of TSC at 25 °C.




Fig. 8: Potentiodynamic polarization curves for carbon steel in 1.5 % H_2O_2 solution in absence and presence of different concentrations of TSC at 25 °C.

4. Conclusion

- The effect of food grade organic compounds, tartaric acid and trisodium citrate, on the corrosion of carbon steel in environmental corrosive media like as hydrogen peroxide solution (H_2O_2) was investigated by weight loss and potentiodynamic polarization curves at 25 °C.
- Weight loss and Tafel results show that corrosion rate of carbon steel decreases with increasing the concentration of both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate.
- Tafel curves reveal that both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate act as a mixed type inhibitor.
- The results show that both tartaric acid and trisodium citrate as regard effective corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide solution. But trisodium citrate is better than tartaric acid as a corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in hydrogen peroxide solution.

References

1. J.T. Holah Rev. Sci. Tech. off Int. Epiz., 14, (1995), 95-104.
2. Anon. - Disinfectants: their use and evaluation of effectiveness (C.H. Collins, M.C. Allwood, S.F. Bloomfield & A. Fox, eds). Society for Applied Bacteriology, Technical Series (1981), No. 16. Academic Press, London.
3. JT. Holah, C. Higgs, S. Robinson, D. Worthington & H. Spenceley. - A Malthus-based surface disinfection test for food hygiene. Lett. appl. Microbiol, 11, (1990), 255-259.
4. D.S. Dhaliwal, J.L. Cordier & L.J. Cox. - Impedimetric evaluation of the efficiency of disinfectants against biofilms. Lett. appl. Microbiol, 15, (1992), 217-212.
5. J.F. Frank & R.A. Koffi. - Surface-adherent growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* is associated with increased resistance to surfactant sanitisers and heat. J. Food Protec, 53, (1990), 550-554.
6. W.B. Hugo, L.J. Pallet, D.J.W Grant, S.P. Denyer & Davies. - Factors contributing to the survival of *Pseudomonas cepacia* in Chlorhexidine. Lett. appl. Microbiol., 2, (1985), 37-42.
7. M.W. Lechevallier, CD. Cawthorn & R.G. Lee. - Inactivation of biofilm bacteria. Appl. environ. Microbiol, 54, (1988), 2492-2499.
8. S.H. Lee & J.F. Frank. - Inactivation of surface-adherent *Listeria monocytogenes*: hypochlorite and heat. J. Food Protec, 54, (1991), 4-6.
9. H.F. Ridgway & B.H. Olsen. - Chlorine resistance patterns of bacteria from two drinking water distribution systems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol, 44, (1982), 972-987.
10. J.B. Wright, I. Ruseska & J. W. Costerton. - Decreased biocide susceptibility of adherent *Legionella pneumophila*. J. appl. Bacteriol. 71, (1991) 531-538.
11. Nations collaborating center or environmental health.
12. J.-M. Kassaian "Tartaric acid" in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 35, (2002) 671-678.
13. G.T. Blair, J.J. DeFrates, Hydroxy Dicarboxylic Acids, Kirk Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. (2000), 1-19.
14. M.A. Hegazy, Ahmed Abdel Nazeer, K. Shalabi, Electrochemical studies on the inhibition behavior of copper corrosion in pickling acid using

- quaternary ammonium salts, *J. Mol. Liq.* 209 (2015) 419-427.
15. A.S. El-Tabei, M.A. Hegazy, A.H. Bedair, M.A. Sadeq, Synthesis and inhibition effect of a novel Tri-cationic surfactant on carbon steel corrosion in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution, *J. Surfact. Deterg.* 17 (2014) 341-352.
 16. M.A. Hegazy, Novel cationic surfactant based on triazole as a corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in phosphoric acid produced by dihydrate wet process, *J. Mol. Liq.* 208 (2015) 227-236.
 17. M.R. Noor El-Din, A.M. Al-Sabagh, M.A. Hegazy, Study of the inhibition efficiency for some novel surfactants on the carbon steel (Type H-11) pipelines in 0.5 M HCl solution by potentiodynamic technique, *J. Disp. Sci. Tech.* 33 (2012) 1444–1451.
 18. M.A. Hegazy, S.S. Abd El Rehim, A.M. Badawi, M.Y. Ahmed, Studying the corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in hydrochloric acid solution by 1-dodecyl-methyl-1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole-1-ium bromide, *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015) 49070 - 49079.
 19. Z. Tao, S. Zhang, W. Li, B. Hou, Corrosion inhibition of mild steel in acidic solution by some oxo-triazole derivatives. *Corros Sci* 51 (2009) 2588–2595
 20. W.A. Badawy, K. M. Ismail, A. M. Fathi, Corrosion control of Cu–Ni alloys in neutral chloride solutions by amino acids. *Electrochim Acta* 51 (2006) 4182–4189.
 21. J. Flis, T. Zakroczymski, Impedance study of reinforcing steel in simulated pore solution with tannin. *J Electrochem Soc* 143(1996) 2458–2464
 22. F. Bentiss, M. Lebrini, M. Lagrene, *Corros. Sci.* 47 (2005) 2915–2931.
 23. Z. Szklarska-Smialowska, J. Mankowski, *Corros. Sci.* 18 (1978) 953–960.
 24. A. Khamis, M.M. Saleh, M.I. Awad, B.E. El-Anadouti, *Corros. Sci.* 74 (2013) 83–91.
 25. Q. Qu, Z. Hao, L. Li, W. Bai, Z. Ding, *Corros. Sci.* 51 (2009) 569.
 26. A.S. El-Tabei, M.A. Hegazy, Synthesis and characterization of a novel nonionic gemini surfactant as corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in acidic solution, *Chem. Eng. Commun.* 7 (2015) 851-863.
 27. M.A. Hegazy, S.M. Rashwan, M.M. Kamel, M.S. El Kotb, Synthesis, surface properties and inhibition behavior of novel cationic gemini surfactant for corrosion of carbon steel tubes in acidic solution, *J. Mol. Liq.* 211 (2015) 126-134.
 28. M.A. Hegazy, E.M.S. Azzam, N.G. Kandil, A.M. Badawi, R.M. Sami, Corrosion inhibition of carbon steel pipelines by some new amphoteric and di-cationic surfactants in acidic solution by chemical and electrochemical methods, *J Surfact Deterg* 19 (2016) 861–871.
 29. A.S. El-Tabei, M.A. Hegazy, Application of the synthesized novel 3,6,9,12,15,18,21-hepta-oxatricosane-1,23-diyl bis(4-((4-(dimethylamino)benzylidene)amino)benzoate) as a corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel in acidic media, *J. Disp. Sci. and Tech.* 35 (2014) 1289-1299.
 30. M.A. Hegazy and I. Aiad, 1-Dodecyl-4-(((3-morpholinopropyl)imino) methyl)pyridin-1-ium bromide as a novel corrosion inhibitor for carbon steel during phosphoric acid production, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 31 (2015) 91-99.
 31. M.A. Hegazy, A.S. El-Tabei, A.H. Bedair, M.A. Sadeq, Synthesis and inhibitive performance of novel cationic and gemini surfactants on carbon steel corrosion in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution, *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015) 64633–64650.
 32. M.A. Hegazy, S.S. Abd El-Rehim, Emad A. Badr, W.M. Kamel, Ahmed H. Youssif, Mono-, di- and tetra-cationic surfactants as carbon steel corrosion inhibitors, *J Surfact Deterg* 18 (2015) 1033–1042.
 33. M.A. Hegazy, A.Y. El-Etre, M. El-Shafaie, K.M. Berry, Novel cationic surfactants for corrosion inhibition of carbon steel pipelines in oil and gas wells applications, *J. Mol. Liq.* 214 (2016) 347–356.
 34. M.A. Hegazy and F.M. Atlam, Three novel bolaamphiphiles as corrosion inhibitors for carbon steel in hydrochloric acid: Experimental and computational studies, *J. Mol. Liq.* 218 (2016) 649–662.
 35. A. Hamdy, Nour Sh. El-Gendy, *Egypt. J. Petro.*, 22 (2013) 17-25.
 36. M.A. Hegazy, A.M. Badawi, S.S. Abd El Rehim, W.M. Kamel, *Egypt. J. Petro.*, 22 (2013) 549-556.