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Abstract
In this paper we are presenting a comparison of disk based and memory based checkpointing schemes clustering and recovery mechanism using checkpointing for mobile ad hoc network. A Mobile Ad hoc network is a group of mobile nodes with self-organizing protocol that create a temporary network without any centralized management or infrastructure. Checkpointing each process stores its data to memory of two different processors. It has faster memory accessing capability, low checkpoint overhead and faster restart to achieve better performance than disk-based checkpoint. In this paper we also focus on the comparison of disk based and memory based checkpointing.
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1. Introduction
A Mobile Ad hoc network consists of a group of mobile nodes that self-configure to form a temporary network without the aid of a preset infrastructure or centralized management. Such networks are characterized by: dynamic topologies, existence of bandwidth constrained, variable capacity links, and energy constrained operations and highly prone to security threats. Due to all these features, routing is a major issue in mobile ad hoc networks. Routing in a network is the process of selecting paths to send network traffic. Routing can take place either in a flat structure or in a hierarchical structure. In a flat structure, all nodes in the network are in the same hierarchy level and thus have the same role. Although this approach is efficient for small networks, it does not allow the scalability when the number of nodes in the network increases. In large networks, the flat routing structure produces excessive information flow which can saturate the network. Hierarchical routing protocols have been proposed to solve this problem among others. This approach consists of dividing the network into groups called clusters. This results in a network with hierarchical structure. Different routing schemes are used between clusters (inter-cluster) and within clusters ( intra-cluster).

1.1 Clustering
Reducing the volume of inter-node communication by localizing data transmission within the formed clusters and decreasing the number of transmissions to the sink node.

1.2 Clustering Scheme in Mobile Ad hoc Network
A Mobile Ad hoc network (MANET) consists of a group of mobile nodes that self-configure to form a temporary network without the aid of a preset infrastructure or centralized management. Such networks are characterized by: dynamic topologies, existence of bandwidth constrained, variable capacity links, and energy constrained operations and highly prone to security threats.

1.3 Recovery Line
Figure 1 indicates the bars of the checkpoint processes. Also the system’s state indicates that process A₂ has sent the message D₃ but process A₀ has not yet received it. In such situation, if A₀ fails and rolls back to the state represented by the checkpoints G₀₀, then the system goes to an inconsistent global state because the state of A₂ indicates that it has sent D₃ to A₀ and the A₀ does not indicate that it has received D₃.

The consistency of the global system’s state depends on how the recovery protocol deals with in-transit messages. If the rollback recovery protocol assumes that the message channels are reliable, then the global state in figure 1 is inconsistent and D₃ is a lost message. On the other hand, if the rollback recovery protocol assumes that the message channels are unreliable, this global state is consistent and D₃ is an in-transit message. The example of figure 2 also shows an inconsistent state because the state of process A₀...
considers that $A_0$ has received $D_3$ but the state of process $A_2$ does not considers that $A_2$ has sent $D_3$. In this case, $D_3$ is an orphan message.

If a set of checkpoints of the system, i.e., a system global state, satisfy the following restrictions, then it is a recovery line and the recovery protocol can use it as a recovery point.

- The set contains only one checkpoint for each process.
- For a given set, there is no send event succeeding the recovery point of a sender process $P$ whose equivalent receive event in the destination process $Q$ occurs before the recovery point of $Q$ in the set (no orphan messages).

2. Phases of Checkpointing

Checkpointing has two phases:
- Saving a checkpoint
- Checkpoint recovery following the failure.

To save a checkpoint, the memory and system, necessary to recover from a failure is sent to storage. Checkpoint recovery involves restoring the system state and memory from the checkpoint and restarting the computation from the checkpoint stored.

3. Types of Checkpointing

There are following types of checkpointing:
- Disk based checkpointing
- Disk less checkpointing
- Double checkpointing

3.1 Disk Based Checkpointing

In checkpoint based methods, the state of the computation as a checkpoint is periodically saved to a stable storage, which is not subject to failures. When a failure occurs the computation is restarted from one of these previously saved states. According to the type of coordination between different processes while taking checkpoints, checkpoint-based methods can be broadly classified into three categories:
- i) Uncoordinated checkpointing or asynchronous checkpointing
- ii) Coordinated checkpointing or synchronous checkpointing
- iii) Communication-induced or Quasi-Synchronous or Hybrid Checkpointing

3.2 Diskless Checkpointing

It is a technique for distributed system with memory and processor redundancy. It requires two extra processors for storing parity as well as standby. Process migration feature has ability to save a process image. The process can be resumed on the new node without having to kill the entire application and start it over again. It has memory or disk space. In order to restore the process image after a failure, a new processor has to be available to replace the crashed processor. This requires a pool of standby processors for multiple unexpected failures. The comparison between disk-based and diskless checkpointing for distributed and parallel system in certain parameter is described in table 1.
Table 1: On Disk and Disk less check pointing for Distributed system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Disk Based</th>
<th>Diskless</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latency time</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU Overhead</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Requirement</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable Storage Requirement</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance of Wholesale Failure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition Hardware</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
<td>Additional Processors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Double Checkpointing

Double checkpointing targets on relatively small memory footprint on very large number of processors when handles fault at a time, each checkpoint data would be stored to two different locations to ensure the availability of one checkpoint. In case, one is lost, other can be used since two buddy processors have identical checkpoints. It can be stored either in the memory or local disk of two processors. These are double in-memory check pointing and double in-disk check pointing schemes. This scheme stores checkpoint in a distributed fashion to avoid the network bottleneck to the central server. The comparison between Disk-based and Memory-based Checkpoint in certain parameter is described in table 2.

3.3.1 Double In-memory Checkpointing

In this check pointing each process stores its data to memory of two different processors. It has faster memory accessing capability, low checkpoint overhead and faster restart to achieve better performance than disk-based checkpoint. But it will increase the memory overhead and initiate check pointing at a time when the memory footprint is small in the application. This can be applied to many scientific and engineering applications such as molecular dynamics simulations that are iterative.

Table 2: Comparison of Disk-based and Memory-based Checkpoint Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault Tolerant Protocols</th>
<th>Double In Memory</th>
<th>Double in Disk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shrink/Expand</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portability</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foolproof</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diskless</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No, Local Disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halts job</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottleneck</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup Processors</td>
<td>Necessarily</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent Checkpoint</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronized Checkpoint</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic Restart</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 Double In-disk Checkpointing

It is useful for applications with very big memory footprint where checkpoints are stored on local scratch disk instead of in processor memory. Due to the duplicate copies of checkpoints it doesn’t rely on reliable storage. It incurs higher disk overhead in check pointing but does not suffer from the dramatic increase in memory usage as in the double in-memory check pointing. Taking advantage of distributed local disks, it avoids the bottleneck to the central fileserver.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we also focal point on the comparison of disk based and memory based check pointing. In this paper we are present a comparison of disk based and memory based check pointing schemes clustering and recovery mechanism using check pointing for mobile ad hoc network. In this check pointing each process stores its data to memory of two different processors. It has faster memory accessing capability, low checkpoint overhead and faster restart to achieve better performance than disk-based checkpoint. In checkpoint based methods, the state of the computation as a checkpoint is periodically saved to a stable storage, which is not subject to failures. When a failure occurs the computation is restarted from one of these previously saved states. It is a technique for distributed system with memory and processor redundancy. It requires two extra processors for storing parity as well as standby. Process migration feature has ability to save a process image. The process can be resumed on the new node without having to kill the entire application and start it over again.
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