Optimization of Submarine Ring Stiffened Composite Pressure Hull Using Numerical Methods
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Abstract
In ocean exploration and sub-sea operation, the underwater vehicle is increasingly needed and is drawing more attention. The pressure hull is one of the main structures of the underwater vehicle, which provides load capacity for electronic systems and buoyancy for the carrier structure. The ring-stiffened hulls have better structural performances and are widely used in underwater vehicles and submarines. Three different composites such as CFRP, BFRP, GFRP were used to analyze the failure criteria to provide the optimum strength. The failure index of the orthotropic composite material should be less than one as per the Tsai-Wu Criteria. The carbon fiber reinforced polymer chosen to adopt the optimization technique. The material strength variation of pressure hull parameters based on Response surface optimization technique is carried out in ANSYS 17.0.
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1. Introduction
The development of submarines in the future will keep on requiring designs to allow them to reach increasingly greater depths. Pressure hulls are one of the keys in the design of these vehicles. The problem is similar to other fields, like a vessel subjected to external pressure, which has to resist that load with the best possible design. The pressure hull is the inner hull of a submarine that maintains structural integrity with the difference between outside and inside pressure at depth. Modern submarines are usually cigar-shaped. This design, already visible on very early submarines is called a "teardrop hull", and was patterned after the bodies of whales. It significantly reduces the hydrodynamic drag on the submarine when submerged, but decreases the sea-keeping capabilities and increases the drag while surfaced. The concept of an outer hydro dynamically streamlined light hull separated from the inner pressure hull was first introduced in the early pioneering submarine in 1859. However, when military submarines entered service in the early 1900s, the limitations of their propulsion systems forced them to operate on the surface most of the time; their hull designs were a compromise, with the outer hulls resembling a ship, allowing for good surface navigation, and a relatively streamlined superstructure to minimize drag under water. Because of the slow submerged speeds of these submarines, usually well below 10 knots (19 km/h), the increased drag for underwater travel by the conventional ship like outer hull was considered acceptable. Only late in World War II, when technology enhancements allowed faster and longer submerged operations and increased surveillance by enemy aircraft forced submarines to spend most of their times below the surface, did hull designs become teardrop shaped again, to reduce drag and noise. USS Albacore (AGSS-569) was a unique research submarine that pioneered the American version of the teardrop hull form (sometimes referred to as an "Albacore hull") of modern submarines. On modern military submarines the outer hull (and sometimes also the propeller) is covered with a thick layer of special sound-absorbing rubber, or anechoic plating, to make the submarine more difficult to detect by active and passive SONAR.

In this paper, the usage of composites in addition to FEM optimization techniques are the tools we have proposed in order to assess if the mistakes that may happen in these elements may have a better solution with composites instead of steel, avoiding costly modifications as in the case exposed.

2. Methodology
The main objective of the present study is to find the best material for the pressure hull according to the Tsai-Wu failure criteria with the optimized values for input and
output parameters using Response surface optimization technique. The following procedure was adopted to optimize the composite pressure hull.
1. A pressure hull model[1] with a length of 1000mm were developed in ANSYS 17.0.
   a) Rules of Mixture adopted to find the material properties like tensile strength, elastic modulus, density etc.
2. Different composites used to investigate their failure criteria to provide optimum strength. The basic details like cross sectional dimensions, number of stiffeners used are kept constant for all models.
3. Failure Criteria: - The stability and strength of the hull were checked with Tsai-Wu Failure Criteria. It predicts failure, when the failure index in a laminate reaches one.
4. Response Surface Optimization
   a) The parameter set created with input and output variables.
   b) Response surfaces for different parameter sets are modeled. After creating response surface, three candidate points are obtained as optimization points.

3. Pressure Hull

Inside the outer hull there is a strong hull, or pressure hull, which actually withstands the outside pressure and has normal atmospheric pressure inside. The pressure hull is generally constructed of thick high-strength steel with a complex structure and high strength reserve, and is separated with watertight bulkheads into several compartments. The pressure and light hulls aren't separated, and form a three-dimensional structure with increased strength. The inter hull space is used for some of the equipment which doesn't require constant pressure to operate. The list significantly differs between submarines, and generally includes different water/air tanks. In case of a single-hull submarine, the light hull and the pressure hull are the same except for the bow and stern.

The constructions of a pressure hull requires a high degree of precision. This is true irrespective of its size. Even a one inch (25 mm) deviation from cross-sectional roundness results in over 30 percent decrease of hydrostatic load. Minor deviations are resisted by the stiffener rings, and the total pressure force of several million longitudinally-oriented tons must be distributed evenly over the hull by using a hull with circular cross section. This design is the most resistant to compressive stress and without it no material could resist water pressure at submarine depths. Fig.1 shows the pressure vessel in a submarine. A submarine hull requires expensive transversal construction, with stiffener rings located more frequently than the longitudinal.

3. Structural Model and Material Property

A ring-stiffened composite material pressure hull of an underwater vehicle designed for 2000 m water depth. It is composed of metal liner and outer winding fiber reinforced composite material. Inner ring frames are uniformly distributed. Length of the pressure hull L= 1000 mm, and the inner radius R =150 mm. Aluminum alloy is adopted for liner layer and the inner frame stiffeners, where the liner thickness is1 mm. Three different composites are selected to investigate the failure criteria. Matrix of the composite material is made of epoxy resin, and the reinforced fiber is carbon fiber (CFRP), Glass fiber (GFRP), Basalt (BFRP). In order to avoid the tension-bending coupling effects, 10 layers are symmetrically laminated and the material properties of the layers are same. Fig. 2 shows the sectional drawing of the pressure hull.

3.1 Rules of Mixture

In materials science, a general rule of mixtures is a weighted mean, used to predict various properties of a composite material made up of continuous and unidirectional fiber. It provides a theoretical upper- and lower-bound on properties such as the elastic modulus, mass density, ultimate tensile strength, thermal conductivity, and electrical conductivity. To find the elastic modulus, the rule of mixtures states that the overall
property in the direction parallel to the fibers may be as high as

\[ E_c = f E_f + (1-f) E_m \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where,

- \( f \) is the volume fraction of the fibers
- \( E_f \) is the elastic modulus of the fibers
- \( E_m \) is the elastic modulus of the matrix

In the case of the elastic modulus, this is known as the upper-bound modulus, and corresponds to loading parallel to the fibers. The inverse rule of mixtures states that in the direction perpendicular to the fibers, the elastic modulus of a composite can be as low as

\[ E_c = \left( \frac{f}{E_f} + \frac{1-f}{E_m} \right)^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

If the property under study is the elastic modulus, this quantity is called the lower-bound modulus, and corresponds to a transverse loading. Material properties are demonstrated in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reinforcement Material</th>
<th>Strain at Break(%)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFRP</td>
<td>1.2-3.1</td>
<td>480-1600</td>
<td>35-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFRP</td>
<td>1.6-3.0</td>
<td>1035-1650</td>
<td>45-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>0.5-1.9</td>
<td>1720-3690</td>
<td>120-580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. FEM Analysis

Depending on the type of physics involved (static structural, modal structural, harmonic, random vibration, response spectrum) provides several means to control the solution of the physics simulation. Static Structural analysis determines the displacements, stresses, strains, and forces in structures or components caused by loads that do not induce significant inertia and damping effects. The submarine pressure hull were modeled with different composites to investigate their failure criteria to provide optimum strength. The contact is provided as bonded (welded) connection. The boundary condition were provided as fixed supports at the ends. A design pressure of 30MPa uniformly distributed over the surface of hull for the analysis. The basic details like cross sectional dimensions, number of stiffeners used are kept constant for all models. Three models are created with different composites and analyzed in ANSYS 17.0 software. The composites are Carbon fiber (CFRP), Glass fiber(GFRP), and Basalt fiber(BFRP) reinforced polymers.

4.1 Tsai- Wu Failure Criteria

The Tsai–Wu failure criterion is a phenomenological material failure theory which is widely used for orthotropic composite materials which have different strengths in tension and compression[11]. The Tsai-Wu criterion predicts failure when the failure index in a laminate reaches one. This failure criterion is a specialization of the general quadratic failure criterion. In this paper, Tsai-Wu criteria is adopted to evaluate the failure of the composite material. The failure index of orthotropic composite material under plane stress is expressed by the following formula, where \( F_i \) and \( F_{ij} \) are the strength parameters of stress space.

\[ F_c = F_1 \sigma_1 + F_2 \sigma_2 + F_{11} \sigma_1^2 + F_{22} \sigma_2^2 + F_{66} \sigma_6^2 + 2F_{12} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 < 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The shell circumferential stress of liner layer at the midpoint of two neighbouring frames \( \sigma_1 \), shell axial stress of liner layer at frames \( \sigma_3 \) and frame stress \( \sigma_2 \) are checked with aluminium alloy yield stress \( \sigma_y \). Fig.3,4 & 5 shows the Failure index of the CFRP,GFRP, & BFRP. We limited the failure index range as ten instead of one for the convenience and visibility of every point.

---

**Table 1: Material Properties of composites**

**Fig.3. Failure index of the CFRP**
Comparing the results of failure, the carbon fibers, glass fiber and basalt fiber shows 4.3787, 8.0292, 5.9967 as failure index respectively and are safe with respect to Tsai-Wu Failure criteria. The CFRP fiber shows better results as compared with the GFRP and BFRP fiber in case of failure index. Thus CFRP model selected to apply the response surface optimization.

4.2 Parameter Set

A parameter is an entity that is linked to a data model property within an application. You can have input and output parameters. An input parameter can be modified at the project level and drives a change within the data model. The value of an output parameter is set by the application, based on the current results or state. Input parameters are parameters that define the geometry or inputs to the analysis for the system under investigation. Input parameters have predefined values or ranges that may be changed. The input parameters include length, radius, dimensions of stiffeners, thickness, pressure, material properties etc. Output parameters are parameters that result from the geometry or are the response outputs from the analysis. These include (but are not limited to) body mass, deformation, stress, failure index etc. Custom Parameters are input or output parameters you have created and that are defined by an expression.

4.3 Response Surface Optimization

Response Surface Method (RSM) is a collection of statistical and mathematical techniques useful for developing, improving, and optimizing processes in which a response of interest is influenced by several variables and the objective is to optimize this response. In most RSM problems, a form of the relationship between the response and the independent variables is unknown. Once the important factors have been identified, the next step is to determine the settings for these factors that result in the optimum value of the response. The optimum value of the response may either be a maximum value or a minimum value, depending upon the product or process in question.

After creating response surfaces for different parameter sets such as length and diameter of hull, width and depth of stiffeners, distance between rings and number of rings, thickness of hull and pressure, three candidate points are obtained as optimization points. It shows minimum values for output parameters such as body mass, equivalent stress, and total deformation as the optimized values. Fig.6 shows the candidate points with the optimized values of input and output parameters. Table 2 demonstrates the optimized values of input and output parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Candidate Point 1</th>
<th>Candidate Point 2</th>
<th>Candidate Point 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>length (mm)</td>
<td>933.3</td>
<td>1027.1</td>
<td>963.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diameter(mm)</td>
<td>111.82</td>
<td>102.22</td>
<td>100.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depth of stiffener (mm)</td>
<td>12.086</td>
<td>11.906</td>
<td>15.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width of stiffener (mm)</td>
<td>23.986</td>
<td>21.393</td>
<td>24.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distance between rings (mm)</td>
<td>97.628</td>
<td>80.179</td>
<td>115.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of rings</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thickness of hull (mm)</td>
<td>11.863</td>
<td>10.864</td>
<td>10.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure (Mpa)</td>
<td>25.166</td>
<td>26.879</td>
<td>28.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body mass (kg)</td>
<td>61.446</td>
<td>55.992</td>
<td>52.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equivalent maximum stress (Mpa)</td>
<td>632.27</td>
<td>612.9</td>
<td>712.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total deformation maximum (mm)</td>
<td>1.8734</td>
<td>2.4682</td>
<td>3.2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusions

In this paper, Tsai-Wu criteria is adopted to evaluate the failure of the composite material. The Tsai-Wu criterion predicts failure when the failure index in a laminate reaches one. The CFRP fiber shows better results as compared with the GFRP and BFRP fiber in case of failure index. In composites, the matrix is usually a polymer resin, such as epoxy, to bind the reinforcements together. The reinforcement will give the CFRP its strength and rigidity; measured by stress and elastic modulus respectively. Unlike isotropic materials like steel and aluminum, CFRP has directional strength properties. The properties of CFRP depend on the layouts of the carbon fiber and the proportion of the carbon fibers relative to the polymer. CFRP have higher tensile strength with lower density comparing with the others. It results a better performance regarding the failure index. The carbon fiber is selected as the material for the pressure hull model as per the comparison between BFRP and GFRP. The parameter set created with input and output variables. The input parameters include length, diameter, dimensions of stiffeners, thickness and pressure. Output parameters include body mass, deformation and stress. Response surfaces for different parameter sets are modeled. After creating response surface, three candidate points are obtained as optimization points. It shows minimum values for output parameters such as body mass, equivalent stress, and total deformation as the optimized values.
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