

Toxicity Of Pesticide Cypermethrine On Freshwater Fish, *Nemacheilus Aurius*

Jabde P. V., Rokade P. B.

R. B. Attal Arts, Science and Commerce College, Georai, Dist. Beed.

ABSTRACT

The toxicity of cypermethrine has been studied to determine the lethal toxicity on a freshwater fish, *Nemacheilus aurius*. 24 hrs LC₅₀ values were highest followed by 48, 72 and 96 hrs and LC₅₀ values were found to be 0.06, 0.05 and 0.04, 0.03 ppm respectively.

Key Words: Cypermethrine, *Nemachilus aurius*, Toxicity

INTRODUCTION

Urbanization lead to agricultural development and industrialization due to which various chemical substances have been diffused in the environment causing pollution of all sorts of water reservoirs and degradation of environment has resulted into a serious ecological imbalance. This has always been the case of all developing countries where quality of air and water is continuously deteriorated and the efforts to control the pollution fails Rokade (2012). Determination of acute toxicity is essential for determining

sensitivity of the animals to the toxicants and also useful for evaluating the degree of damage to the target organs and the consequent physiological and behavioral disorders. Therefore, the present investigation has been carried out to determine the toxic effects of pyrethroid insecticides cypermethrine on the fresh water fish *N. aurius*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The test fish *N. aurius* were collected regularly in live conditions from the river Bohri from Pimpalgaon near Malegaon (near Nashik), Maharashtra (India). Fishes were acclimatized to the laboratory conditions in the glass aquaria containing aerated tap water. The water was renewed every day and the fishes were fed with poultry feed on alternate day. During the experimentation fishes were not fed. The physic-chemical characteristics of the test water such as temperature (24-25⁰C), water hardness (440 mg/lit), pH (6.4) and

Dissolved oxygen (0.4719 ml/gm/wt/hr) were analyzed during the experiments.

The stock solution of pesticide, cypermethrine was prepared by dissolving a known concentration of this pesticide into the distilled water and required concentrations were made from the stock solution. The acute toxicity as widely accepted for the period of 24, 48, 72 and 96 hrs have been carried out in the present investigation and the observations were made on the percentage mortality of the fish. The LC_{50} values were calculated by using regression equation method of probit analysis (Finney, 1971) the average values have been put in appropriate tables.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The observations made during the acute toxicity experiments, on the *N. aurius* have been tabulated in Table No. 1-6 and Figure 1. The regression for the relation between the doses of cypermethrine and mortality of fish was calculated for LC_{50} values, and found to be 0.06, 0.05 and 0.04, 0.03 ppm for 24, 48, 72 and 96 hrs respectively.

During acute toxicity tests, fish exhibited peculiar reactions after 24 hrs of exposure.

The fishes were swimming rapidly with random movements Ganeshwade (2006). At first, the fish surfaced for 10-15 minutes, then slowly became lethargic and settled at the bottom of the aquarium. The fins were paralyzed and the opercular movements gradually slowed down. The fish exhibited acute asphyxiation and occasionally jumped up to gulp air. Sometimes rapid zig zag movements with sudden jerks were observed before an hour to their death.

The mode of toxicity has been studied since 1920. Ellis (1937) showed that many chemicals induced similar precipitation of mucous which filled the space between gill filaments and gill lamellae ultimately affecting the gaseous exchange leading to stasis of blood and death of the fish. Lloyd (1941) and Skidmore (1970) suggested that cytological damage to gills, rather than mucous accumulation caused death by asphyxia.

Jeba kumar et al. (1990) observed the effect of cypermethrine on the organic constituents and its accumulation in the whole fish, *Lepidocephalichthys thermalis*. The result in the present investigation showed percentage of mortality is time and dose dependent.

Acute toxicity involved the damage to the organisms by the fastest acting mechanism. Similar observations were made by Devi et al. (1972), Kutty (1973), Zitko et al. (1977), Gill et al. (1991), Kanabav and Banna (2001), Joakim et al. (2002) and Dussart and Trigwell (2002).

Mount (1962) described the result of endrine poisoning, in blunt nose minnows as indicative of disorders of the central nervous system, commencing with rapid jerky movements of the body and fins, and increase ventilation rate and sensitivity to external stimulus. The fish then moved to the surface swimming slowly and sometimes backwards and convulsions followed. These increased until equilibrium was lost, but the fish often 'barrel rolled' or spiraled at intervals before respiration eventually ceased.

The behavioral changes in the present investigation may be due to influence of the pesticide cypermethrine and therefore the fish showed rapid movements.

REFERENCES

Devi, F. B., Kleerekoper, H. and Gentler, P. (1972). Effect of exposure to sublethal DDT on locomotor behavior of the gold fish

Carrasius auratus. *Fish. Res. Bd. Canada*. 29: 1333-1336.

Dai, Y. H., Dussart, G., Trigwell J. (2002). Toxicity of a potential molluscicide derived from the plant *Solanum xanthocarpum*: a primary study. Weif. H: Xu X-J Liu J-B: *Animals of tropical medicine and proctology*. 96(3): pp. 325-331.

Ellis, M. M. (1937). Detection of measurement of stream pollution. *Bull. US. Bur. Fish.* 48: 365-437.

Finney D. J. (1971). Estimation of the medium effective dose. In; Probit Analysis. *University Press, Cambridge*.

Ganeshwade, R.M., Rokade P.B. and Sonwane S.R. (2006). Behavioral responses of *Cyprinus carpio* to industrial effluents. *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 27(1) 159-160.

Gill, T. S., Pande, J. and Tiwari, H. (1991). Individual and combined toxicity of common pesticide to teleost *Puntius conchoniis* (Ham). *Indian Journal of experimental biology*. 29: 145-148.

Jebakumar S. R. D., Flora, S. D. J., Ganeson, R. M., Gagatheeson, G. and Jayaraman, J. (1990). Effect of the short term sublethal exposure of cypermethrin on the organic constituents of freshwater fish *Lepidocephalichthys thermalis*. *J. Environ. Biol.* 11(2): 203-209.

Joakim L. D. G., Kinnberg, K., Sturve, J., Stephensen, E., Skon, M. and Forlin, L. (2002). Studies of masculinization, detoxification and oxidative stress responses in guppies, *Poecilia reticulata* exposed to effluent from the pulp mill. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 52(1): 13-23.

Kanabur, V. V. and Sannadurgappa, D. (2001). Acute toxicity of phenol and cresol to a freshwater fish *Oreochromis mossambicus*. *Exc. Eco.* 19(40): 756-758.

Kutty, M. N., Samuel P. and Jayachandran, P. (1973). Comparative tolerance of freshwater fishes to selected pesticides: syup. Environmental impact on developmental activities and adaptation of suitable guidelines for project control in sectors such as industries and agricultures. Hyderabad. 201-207.

Loyed, R. (1941). Effect of dissolved oxygen concentration on the toxicity of several poisons to Rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri*. *Richardson. J. Exp. Biol.* 38: 447-445.

Mount, D. I. and Bur, U. S. (1962). Sport fish and wild. *Res. Ref. no.* 58.

Rokade, P. B. (2012). EFFECT OF INDUSTRIAL EFFLUENTS ON THE TESTIS OF GUPPY, POECILIA RETICULATA. *Trends in Fisheries Research.* 1(3): 15-17.

Skidmore (1970). Respiration and osmoregulation in Rainbow trout with gill damaged by zinc sulphate. *J. Exp. Biol.* 52: 4981-494.

Zitco, V. W., Carson, G. and Metcalf, C. D. (1977). Toxicity of parathyroid to juvenile atlantic salmon. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 18: 35-41.

Table No. 1.

Calculation of log. Dose/probit regression line for some experiments in which mature fish *Nemacheilus aurius* were exposed (24 hrs) to different concentrations of cypermethrine. LC_{50} for 24 hrs.

Sr. No.	Conc. In ppm	No. of animals used	Mortality % (p)	Log +2 X	Empirical probit	Expected probit Y	Working probit Y=yo+kp	Weighting coefficient	W eig W	W X	W Y	W X ²	W Y ²	W XY	Y ¹
	.03	10	0	.47	0.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	.04	10	20	.60	4.16	4.25	4.16	.503	5.03	3.01	20.92	1.80	87.02	12.52	4.2
	.05	10	40	.69	4.75	4.65	4.74	.601	6.01	4.14	28.48	2.85	134.99	19.62	4.6
	.06	10	50	.77	5.00	5.00	5.00	.637	6.37	4.90	31.85	3.77	159.25	24.5	4.9
	.07	10	60	.84	5.25	5.3	5.25	.616	6.16	5.17	32.34	4.34	169.78	27.14	5.3
	.08	10	70	.90	5.52	5.5	5.52	.581	5.81	5.22	32.07	4.69	177.02	28.81	5.6
	.09	10	80	.95	5.84	5.8	5.84	.503	5.03	4.77	29.37	4.53	171.52	27.85	5.8
	.11	10	90	1.04	6.28	6.2	6.2	.336	3.36	3.49	20.83	3.62	129.14	21.63	6.2

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum WX}{\sum W} = \frac{30.7}{37.77} = 0.81$$

$$b = \frac{\sum WXY - \bar{X}\sum Y}{-\bar{X}\sum WX} = \frac{162.07 - (0.81 \times 195.86)}{3.43}$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum WY}{\sum W} = \frac{195.86}{37.77} = 5.18$$

$$b = \frac{\sum WX - (\sum W \bar{X})}{\sum WX^2 - (\sum W \bar{X}^2)} = \frac{30.7 - (37.77 \times 0.81)}{25.60 - (37.77 \times 0.81^2)} = \frac{0.74}{0.74} = 1.0$$

$$\Sigma W = 37.77, \quad \Sigma WX = 30.7, \quad \Sigma WY = 195.86, \quad \Sigma WX^2 = 25.60, \quad \Sigma WY^2 = 1028.72, \quad \Sigma WXY = 162.07$$

$$Y^1 = (\bar{Y} - b\bar{X}) + bX$$

$$= (5.18 - 1.0 \times 0.81) + 1.0 \times 0.6$$

$$= 4.37 + 0.6$$

$$= 4.97$$

$$Y^1 = 4.6 \quad Y^1 = 4.9 \quad Y^1 = 5.3 \quad Y^1 = 5.6 \quad Y^1 = 5.8 \quad Y^1 = 6.2$$

Table No. 2.

Calculation of log. Dose/probit regression line for some experiments in which mature fish *Nemacheilus aurius* were exposed (48 hrs) to different concentrations of cypermethrine. LC₅₀ for 24 hrs.

S r. No.	Conc. In ppm	No. of animals used	Mortality % (p)	Log ₁₀ X	Empirical probit	Expected probit Y	Working probit Y=y ₀ +kp	Weighting coefficient	W	W X	W Y	W X ²	W Y ²	W X Y	Y ¹
	.03	10	10	.47	3.72	3.65	3.72	.302	3.0	1.41	11.23	0.66	41.77	5.24	3.65
	.04	10	30	.60	4.48	4.48	4.48	.558	5.5	3.34	24.99	2.00	111.95	14.96	4.44
	.05	10	50	.69	5.00	5.00	5.00	.637	6.3	4.39	31.85	3.02	159.25	21.95	4.98
	.06	10	60	.77	5.25	5.5	5.24	.581	5.8	4.47	30.44	8.44	159.50	23.42	5.46
	.07	10	80	.84	5.84	5.9	5.83	.471	4.7	3.95	27.45	3.31	160.03	23.02	5.89
	.08	10	90	.90	6.28	6.28	6.27	.370	3.7	3.33	23.19	2.99	145.40	20.87	6.25

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum WX}{\sum W} = \frac{20.89}{29.19} = 0.71$$

$$b = \frac{\sum WXY - \bar{X}\sum WY}{\sum WX^2 - \bar{X}\sum WX} = \frac{109.46 - (0.71 \times 149.15)}{15.42 - (0.71 \times 20.89)} = \frac{0.59}{0.59} = 1.0$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum WY}{\sum W} = \frac{149.15}{29.19} = 5.10$$

$$b = 6.05$$

$$\Sigma W = 29.19, \quad \Sigma WX = 20.89, \quad \Sigma WY = 149.15, \quad \Sigma WX^2 = 15.42, \quad \Sigma WY^2 = 777.90, \quad \Sigma WXY = 109.46$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y^1 &= (\bar{Y} - b_x) + b_x \\
 &= (5.10 - (6.05 \times 0.71)) \\
 &\quad + 6.05 \times 0.47 \\
 &= 0.81 + 2.84 \\
 &= 3.65
 \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_1 = 4.44 \quad Y^1 = 4.98 \quad Y^1 = 5.46 \quad Y^1 = 5.89 \quad Y^1 = 6.25$$

Table No. 3.

Calculation of log. Dose/probit regression line for some experiments in which mature fish *Nemacheilus aurius* were exposed (48 hrs) to different concentrations of cypermethrine. LC_{50} for 72 hrs.

S r. No.	Conc. In ppm	No. of animals used	Mortality % (p)	Log+2 X	Empirical probit	Expected probit Y	Working probit Y=y ₀ +kp	Weighting coefficient	W eig W	W X	W Y	W X ²	W Y ²	W X Y	Y ¹
	.03	10	30	.47	4.48	4.25	4.50	0.503	5.03	2.36	22.63	1.10	101.83	10.62	4.26
	.04	10	50	.60	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.637	6.37	3.82	31.85	2.29	159.25	19.01	5.01
	.05	10	60	.69	5.25	5.45	5.25	0.601	6.01	4.14	31.55	2.55	165.63	21.73	5.52
	.06	10	80	.77	5.84	5.9	5.83	0.471	4.71	3.62	27.45	2.78	160.03	21.10	5.98
	.07	10	90	.84	6.28	6.28	6.27	0.370	3.70	3.10	23.19	2.60	145.40	19.43	6.38

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum WX}{\sum W} = \frac{17.04}{25.82} = 0.65$$

$$b = \frac{\sum WXY - \bar{X}\sum Y}{-\bar{X}\sum WX}$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum WY}{\sum W} = \frac{136.67}{25.82} = 5.29$$

b = 5.72

$$= \frac{91.98 - (0.65 \times 136.67)}{11.62 - (0.65 \times 17.04)} = \frac{3.15}{0.55}$$

$$\sum W = 25.82, \quad \sum WX = 17.04, \quad \sum WY = 136.67, \quad \sum WXY = 91.98$$

$$\sum WX^2 = 11.62, \quad \sum WY^2 = 732.14,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y^1 &= (\bar{Y} - b_x) + b_x \\
 &= (5.29 - 5.72 \times 0.65) \\
 &\quad + 5.72 \times 0.49 \\
 &= (5.29 - 3.71) + 2.68 = 1.58 + 2.68 = 4.26
 \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_1 = 5.01 \quad Y^1 = 5.52 \quad Y^1 = 5.98 \quad Y^1 = 6.38$$

Table No. 4.

Calculation of log. Dose/probit regression line for some experiments in which mature fish *Nemacheilus aurius* were exposed (96 hrs) to different concentrations of cypermethrine.

LC₅₀ for 72 hrs.

S r. N o.	Co nc. In ppm	No. of ani mals used	Mort ality % (p)	Lo g+2 X	Emp rical probit	Expe cted probit Y	Work ing probit Y=y ₀ +kp	Weig hting coeffi cient	W eig W	W X	W Y	W X ²	W Y ²	W X Y	Y ¹
	.03	10	30	.47	4.75	4.74	4.74	0.616	6.16	2.89	29.19	1.35	138.36	13.69	4.65
	.04	10	50	.60	5.00	5.25	5.25	0.601	6.01	3.60	31.55	2.16	165.63	18.9	5.37
	.05	10	60	.69	5.85	5.84	5.84	0.503	5.03	3.47	29.37	2.39	171.52	20.26	5.84
	.06	10	80	.77	6.28	6.27	6.27	0.370	3.70	2.84	23.19	2.18	145.40	17.60	6.30

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum WX}{\sum W} = \frac{12.80}{20.90} = 0.62$$

$$b = \frac{\sum WXY - \bar{X}\sum Y}{-\bar{X}\sum WX}$$

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum WY}{\sum W} = \frac{113.30}{20.90} = 5.42$$

b = 5.5

$$= \frac{70.65 - 0.61 \times 113.30}{8.08 - 0.61 \times 12.80} = \frac{1.54}{0.28}$$

$$\sum W = 20.90, \quad \sum WX = 12.80, \quad \sum WY = 113.30, \quad \sum WX^2 = 8.08, \quad \sum WY^2 = 620.91, \quad \sum WXY = 70.65$$

$$Y^1 = (\bar{Y} - b\bar{x}) + bx$$

$$= (5.42 - 5.5 \times .61) + 5.5 \times 0.47$$

$$= 2.07 + 2.58$$

$$= 4.65$$

$$Y^1 = 5.01 \quad Y^1 = 5.52 \quad Y^1 = 5.98 \quad Y^1 = 6.38$$

Table No. 5.

Relative toxicity study of *N. aurius* after different hrs. exposure with cypermethrin

Sr. No.	LC ₅₀ values after different hrs. exposure in ppm			
	24 hrs.	48 hrs.	72 hrs.	96 hrs.
1	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03

Table No. 6

Relative toxicity of cypermethrin when fish *N. aurius* were exposed to 24 hrs. to 96 hrs.

Hrs. of exposure	Regression equation	LC ₅₀ + S.E.	Homogeneity Heterogeneity	Fiducial Limit		Relative toxicity
				M ₁	M ₂	
24	Y = 1.43 + 2.77 X	0.06 ± .22	- 1.71	- 0.387	0.507	1
48	Y = 0.81 + 2.84 X	0.05 ± .16	- 4.35	-00.266	0.316	1.2
72	Y = 0.58 + 2.68 X	0.04 ± .17	- 8.86	- 0.299	0.339	1.5
96	Y = 2.07 + 2.58 X	0.03 ± .21	- 1.62	- 0.394	0.454	2