

Lower bounds of the number of real Roots of random algebraic polynomials

¹Dipty Rani Dhal, ²Dr.P.K.Mishra,

¹Associate Professor of Mathematics,

^{1,2} CET, BPUT, BBSR, Odisha, India

Abstract . let $N_n(\omega)$ be the number of real roots of the equation $\sum_{v=0}^n a_v \xi_v(\omega) x^v = 0$ where $\xi_v(\omega)$'s are identically distributed random variables with mean zero and joint density function particularly defined and a_v 's are nonzero real numbers which are finite . It is shown that for any sequence of positive constants $(\epsilon_n, n \geq 0)$ satisfying $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon_n^2 \log n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Pr \left\{ \inf_{n > n_0} N_n(\omega) / \log n < \epsilon_n \right\} < \mu(\epsilon_{n_0} \log n_0)^{-1}$$

For all n_0 sufficiently large and positive constant μ .

1991 Mathematics subject classification (amer. Math. Soc.): 60 B 99.

Keywords and phrases: Independent, identically distributed random variables, random algebraic polynomial, random algebraic equation, real roots, domain of attraction of the normal law, slowly varying function.

1 . Introduction . Let $N_n(\omega)$ be the number of real roots of the algebraic equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x, \omega) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \xi_v(\omega) x^v = 0$$

where $\xi_v(\omega)$'s are random variables assuming real values only . Several authors have estimated bounds for $N_n(\omega)$ when the random variables satisfy different distribution laws . **Littlewood and Offord** [1] made the first attempt in this direction. They considered the cases when the $\xi_v(\omega)$'s are normally distributed or uniformly distributed in $(-1, 1)$ or assume only the values -1 and $+1$ with equal probability . They obtained in each case that

$$\Pr(N_n(\omega) > \mu \Lambda_n) > 1 - A / \log n$$

for large n where $\Lambda_n = (\log n) / (\log \log \log n)$ and μ, A are positive constants. **Samal [3]** has considered the general case when $\xi_v(\omega)$'s have identical distribution with expectation zero, the variance and the absolute moment finite and nonzero. He has shown that $N_n(\omega) \geq \epsilon_n \log n$ outside an exceptional set whose measure tends to zero as n tends to infinity where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ but $\epsilon_n \log n \rightarrow \infty$. **Evans [4]** was the first to obtain 'strong result' for this bound. He showed that the exceptional set could be independent of n , the degree of polynomial. He has proved that in case of normally distributed independent coefficients there exists an integer n_0 such that for $n > n_0$,

$$(1.2) \quad \Pr(N_n(\omega) > \mu \Lambda'_n) > 1 - A(\log \log n_0) / \log n_0$$

where A is a positive constant and $\Lambda'_n = (\log n) / (\log \log n)$. Subsequently, **Samal and Pratihari ([5] & [6])** have improved the 'strong result' for the lower bound. Considering random equations with independent coefficients they have shown that for $n > n_0$,

$$(1.3) \quad \Pr \left\{ \inf_{n > n_0} N_n(\omega) / \log n < \epsilon_n \right\} < \mu / (\epsilon_{n_0} \log n_0)$$

where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ but $\epsilon_n^2 \log n \rightarrow \infty$. The results of **Evans [4]** is a particular case of (1.3) for it is obtained from it by replacing $\epsilon_n = (\log \log n)^{-1}$. On the other hand their exceptional set is much smaller. Recently, **Sambadham, M. and Renganathan [7]** have obtained the same lower bound for $N_n(\omega)$ as Evans when the random coefficients $\xi_v(\omega)$'s are normally distributed with mean zero and joint density function defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \left| M \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \exp \left\{ - (1/2) \bar{a}' M \bar{a} \right\}$$

where M^{-1} is the moment matrix with $\sigma_i = 1; \rho_{ij} = \rho, 0 < \rho < 1, i \neq j; i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ and \bar{a}' is the transpose of the column vector \bar{a} . Another estimate of the lower bound for $N_n(\omega)$ is due to **Nayak and Patanayak [8]**. Considering the polynomial

$$(1.5) \quad f(x, \omega) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \xi_v(\omega) x^v$$

where a_v 's are nonzero real numbers such that $(k_n/t_n) = O(\log n)$;
 $k_n = \max_v |a_v|$, $t_n = \min_v |a_v|$ and $\xi_v(\omega)$'s are identically distributed dependent random variables with mean zero and the joint density function given by (1.4), they have shown that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for $n > n_0$,

$$N_n(\omega) > \mu \log n / \log \{(k_n/t_n) \log n\}$$

the exceptional set being at most $\mu' \{ \log((k_{n_0}/t_{n_0}) \log n_0) / \log n_0 \}^{1/2}$.

In this paper our object is to improve the ‘strong result’ for the lower bound of $N_n(\omega)$ in case of the dependent coefficients. In fact, considering the random polynomial (1.5) with dependent coefficients under the condition (1.4) we establish that for any sequence of positive constants $(\epsilon_n, n \geq 0)$ satisfying $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon_n^2 \log n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Pr \left\{ \inf_{n > n_0} N_n(\omega) / \log n < \epsilon_n \right\} < \mu (\epsilon_{n_0} \log n_0)^{-1}$$

for all n_0 sufficiently large and a positive constant μ .

Throughout the paper n is considered to be sufficiently large in order that the inequalities are satisfied and μ 's denote positive absolute constants assuming not necessarily the same value at every place of occurrence, $[x]$ denote the greatest integer not exceeding x . We wish to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let $N_n(\omega)$ be the number of real roots of the random algebraic*

$$\text{equation } f(x, \omega) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \xi_v(\omega) x^v = 0$$

in which $\xi_v(\omega)$'s are identically distributed random variables with mean zero and joint density function given by (1.4) and a_v 's are nonzero real numbers such that $(k_n/t_n) = O(\log n)$; where $k_n = \max_v |a_v|$, $t_n = \min_v |a_v|$. Then, for any sequence of positive constants $(\epsilon_n, n \geq 0)$ satisfying $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon_n^2 \log n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\Pr \left\{ \inf_{n > n_0} N_n(\omega) / \log n < \epsilon_n \right\} < \mu (\epsilon_{n_0} \log n_0)^{-1}$$

for all n_0 sufficiently large and positive constant μ .

2. Proof of the theorem. Let A and B be constants such that

(2.1) $0 < B < 1$ and $A > 1$

Let

(2.2)
$$\beta_n = (t_n/k_n) \exp\{c' / (\epsilon_n^2 \log n)\}$$

where c' is a constant to be chosen later, and

(2.3)
$$M_n = \left[\beta_n^2 (k_n/t_n)^2 (Ae/B) \right] + 1, \quad \text{where } e = \exp(1)$$

so

(2.4)
$$\mu(k_n/t_n)^2 \beta_n^2 \leq M_n \leq \mu'(k_n/t_n)^2 \beta_n^2$$

We define

(2.5) $\phi(x) = x^x$.

Let k be an integer determined by

(2.6)
$$\phi(8k + 7) M_n^{(8k+7)} \leq n \leq \phi(8k + 11) M_n^{(8k+11)}$$

The first inequality gives

$$(8k + 7) \cdot \{ \log(8k + 7) + \log M_n \} \leq \log n$$

and ultimately $k \leq \mu'' (\log n) / (\log \beta_n)$. The second inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \log n &< (8k + 11) \cdot \{ \log(8k + 11) + \log M_n \} \\ &< (8k + 11)^2 + \log(8k + 11) + \log M_n \\ &< \mu k^2 \log M_n \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$k > \mu' \{ (\log n) / (\log \beta_n) \}^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{c'}} \epsilon_n \log n \leq k \leq \frac{\mu_2}{c'} (\epsilon_n \log n)^2,$$

so that k approaches infinity less rapidly than n . We consider

$$f(x_m, \omega) = U_m(\omega) + R_m(\omega)$$

at the points

$$(2.7) \quad x_m = \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\phi(4m+1)M \frac{4m}{n}} \right\}^{1/2}$$

for $m = [k/2] + 1, [k/2] + 2, \dots, k$ where

$$U_m(\omega) = \sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v$$

and
$$R_m(\omega) = \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{v_1} + \sum_{v=v_2+1}^n \right\} a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v$$

where $v_1 = \phi(4m-1)M \frac{4m-1}{n}$, $v_2 = \phi(4m+3)M \frac{4m+3}{n}$

2.1 . We shall use the fact that each $\xi_v(\omega)$ has marginal frequency function $(1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp(-\omega^2/2)$.

We shall need the following lemmas .

Lemma 1 . For $\alpha_1 > 0$, $\sigma_m > \alpha_1 t_n \phi(4m+1)M \frac{4m}{n}$ where

$$\sigma_m^2 = (1-\rho) \sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v^2 x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v x_m^v \right)^2 ; 0 < \rho < 1.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v x_m^v &> t_n \sum_{v = \phi(4m-1)M \frac{(4m-1)}{n} + 1}^{\phi(4m+3)M \frac{(4m+3)}{n}} x_m^v \\ &> t_n \left\{ \phi(4m+1)M \frac{4m}{n} - \phi(4m-1)M \frac{4m-1}{n} \right\}^{1/2} \cdot x_m^{\phi(4m+1)M \frac{4m}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

$$> t_n \phi(4m+1) M_n^{4m} (B / (A \sqrt{e})) ; e = \exp(1) ,$$

where m is large . Again

$$\sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v^2 x_m^{2v} > t^2 \phi(4m+1) M_n^{4m} \cdot \left(\frac{B}{Ae} \right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m^2 &> (1-\rho) t_n^2 \phi(4m+1) M_n^{4m} \left(\frac{B}{Ae} \right) + \rho t_n^2 \phi^2(4m+1) M_n^{8m} \left(\frac{B^2}{A^2 e} \right); \\ &> \alpha_1^2 t_n^2 \phi^2(4m+1) M_n^{8m} ; \alpha_1 \text{ being a positive constant .} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof of lemma 1 is complete .

Lemma 2 .

$$\Pr \left\{ \omega : \left| \sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v \right| > \lambda_n \tilde{\sigma}_m \right\} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2/2}}{\lambda_n} = 0$$

where $\lambda_n = m^2 \beta_n$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_m^2 = (1-\rho) \sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v^2 x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v x_m^v \right)^2 ; 0 < \rho < 1 .$

Proof . Let $F(x)$ be the distribution function of $\sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left\{ \omega : \left| \sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v \right| > \lambda_n \tilde{\sigma}_m \right\} \\ &= 1 - \left\{ F(\lambda_n \tilde{\sigma}_m) - F(-\lambda_n \tilde{\sigma}_m) \right\} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\lambda_n}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2/2}}{\lambda_n} . \end{aligned}$$

hence the proof of Lemma 2 is complete .

Lemma-3.

$$\Pr\left\{\omega : \left| \sum_{v=v_2+1}^n a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v \right| > \lambda_n \tilde{\sigma}_m \right\} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2/2}}{\lambda_n}$$

Where $\tilde{\sigma}_m^2 = (1 - \rho) \sum_{v=v_2+1}^n a_v^2 x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=v_2+1}^n a_v x_m^v \right)^2$;

$0 < \rho < 1$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2 .

Lemma 4. For a fixed m ,

$$\Pr\left\{\omega : |R_m(\omega)| < \sigma_m \right\} > 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2/2}}{\lambda_n}$$

Proof. For a given m we have

$$|R_m(\omega)| < \lambda_n (\tilde{\sigma}_m + \tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}_m)$$

Again we have

$$\sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v^2 x_m^{2v} < \frac{2k_n^2 \phi(4m+1)M^{4m-1}}{(4m+1)^2 n}$$

and

$$\sum_{v=0}^{v_1} a_v x_m^v < \frac{2k_n \phi(4m+1)M^{4m-1}}{(4m+1)^2 n}$$

Hence , for positive constants α_2 and α_3 ,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_m^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_2^2 k_n^2}{(4m+1)^2} \left\{ \phi(4m+1)M \binom{4m-1}{n} \right\}^2$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}_m^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_3^2 k_n^2}{(4m+1)^2} \left\{ \phi(4m+1)M \binom{4m-1}{n} \right\}^2$$

Therefore

$$|R_m(\omega)| < \frac{\lambda_n(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)k_n\phi(4m + 1)M^n}{(4m + 1)^2}$$

$$< \frac{\lambda_n}{16m^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{\alpha_1} \right) \cdot \frac{k_n}{t_n} \cdot \frac{\sigma_m}{M_n} \quad (\text{by Lemma 1})$$

using the statement (2.3). Thus $|R_m(\omega)| < \sigma_m$ except for a set of measure at most $2\sqrt{2/\pi} \exp(-\lambda_n^2/2)/\lambda_n$ for $m = [k/2] + 1, [k/2] + 2, \dots, k$. Hence, Lemma 4 is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \dots$ be a sequence of independent random variables with variance $V(\eta_i) < 1$ for all i . Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr \left\{ \sup_{k \geq k_0} \left| \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=0}^K \{\eta_i - E(\eta_i)\} \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \leq \frac{D}{\epsilon^2 K_0}$$

where D is a positive constant.

This form of ‘the strong law of large numbers’ is a consequence of

Hajek-Renye inequality [2].

2.2. We define S_m^+, S_m^- as sets of ω in which, respectively

$$U_m(\omega) > \sigma_m, \quad U_m(\omega) < -\sigma_m.$$

Hence

$$E_m \dot{\cup} F_m = (S_{2m}^+ \cap S_{2m+1}^-) \dot{\cup} (S_{2m}^- \cap S_{2m+1}^+), \text{ say,}$$

As in **Samal and Pratihari ([5] & [6])**. Obviously, the two sets within the braces on the right hand side are disjoint. Therefore

$$(2.8) \quad \Pr(E_m \dot{\cup} F_m) = (S_{2m}^+ \cap S_{2m+1}^-) \dot{\cup} (S_{2m}^- \cap S_{2m+1}^+).$$

We shall use the fact that $\xi_v(\omega)$ has marginal frequency functions

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \exp(-t^2/2). \text{ The distribution function is } \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \int_{-\infty}^x \exp(-t^2/2) dt.$$

The distribution function of $U_m(\omega) = \sum_{v=v+1}^{v^2} a_v \xi_v(\omega) x_m^v$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_m}} \right) \int_{-\infty}^x \exp \left\{ - \left(t^2 / 2\sigma_m^2 \right) \right\} dt.$$

where

$$\sigma_m^2 = (1 - \rho) \sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v^2 x_m^{2v} + \rho \left(\sum_{v=v_1+1}^{v_2} a_v x_m^v \right)^2;$$

Therefore, the distribution function of U_m / σ_m is

$$F_m(x) = \left(1/\sqrt{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^x \exp(-t^2/2) dt.$$

Thus

$$F_{2m} \left(\frac{U_{2m}}{\sigma_{2m}} < -1 \right) = \left(1/\sqrt{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \exp(-t^2/2) dt. = F_{2m}(-1)$$

and

$$F_{2m} \left(\frac{U_{2m}}{\sigma_{2m}} > 1 \right) = 1 - F_{2m} \left(\frac{U_{2m}}{\sigma_{2m}} \leq 1 \right) = 1 - F_{2m}(1)$$

Now $\Pr(S_{2m}^+ \cap S_{2m+1}^-) = \Pr \left\{ \frac{U_{2m}}{\sigma_{2m}} > 1 \text{ and } \frac{U_{2m+1}}{\sigma_{2m+1}} \leq -1 \right\}$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x=1}^{\infty} \int_{y=-\infty}^{-1} \exp\{-(x^2 + y^2)/2\} dx dy = \delta', \text{ (say) ,}$$

where δ' is independent of m .

Similarly $\Pr(S_{2m}^- \cap S_{2m+1}^+) = \delta'$

Hence from (2.8) we get that

$$\Pr(E_m \cap F_m) = 2\delta' = \delta, \text{ (say)}$$

Obviously $\delta > 0$.

Let η_m, ρ_m, θ_m be defined as in **Samal and Pratihari [6]**, that is,

$$\eta_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \delta \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \delta \end{cases}$$

Then quite obviously η_m 's are independent random variables with $E(\eta_m) = \delta$ and $\text{Var}(\eta_m) = \delta - \delta^2 < 1$.

$$\text{Let } \rho_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |R_{2m}| < \sigma_{2m} \text{ and } |R_{2m+1}| < \sigma_{2m+1} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And $\theta_m = \eta_m - \eta_m \rho_m$.

Then the number of roots in the interval (x_{2m_0}, x_{2k+1}) where $m_0 = [k/2] + 1$, must

exceed $\sum_{m=m_0}^k \theta_m$.

2.3. We define ω -sets $A(\omega)$, $B(\omega)$ and $C(\omega)$ as in [6]. We have

$$E(\rho_m) \leq \Pr(R_{2m} \geq \sigma_{2m}) + \Pr(R_{2m+1} \geq \sigma_{2m+1}).$$

By lemmas

$$\Pr\{|R_{2m}| \geq \sigma_{2m}\} < 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2/2}}{\lambda_n}.$$

and $\Pr\{|R_{2m+1}| \geq \sigma_{2m+1}\} < 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\lambda_n^2/2}}{\lambda_n}.$

Thus

$$E(\rho_m) < \frac{\mu' \exp(-\lambda_n^2/2)}{\lambda_n} = \frac{\mu' \exp(-m^2 \beta_n^2/2)}{m^2 \beta_n^2} < \mu/m^2, \quad (\text{by definition of } \beta_n).$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{k - m_0 + 1} \sum_{m=m_0}^k E(\rho_m) \leq \frac{1}{k - m_0 + 1} \sum_{m=m_0}^k (\mu/m^2) < \mu/m_0^2.$$

Therefore

$$\Pr\{C(\omega)\} < \frac{2\mu'}{\epsilon} \sum_{k-m_0+1 \geq k_0} (1/m_0^2).$$

Applying Lemma 5, we have

$$\Pr\{B(\omega)\} \leq 4D / (\epsilon^2 k_0) = \mu/k_0.$$

Since $A(\omega) \subseteq B(\omega) \cup C(\omega)$,

$$(2.9) \quad \Pr\{A(\omega)\} \leq \mu/k_0 + \frac{2\mu'}{\epsilon} \sum_{k-m_0+1 \geq k_0} (1/m_0^2)$$

2.4. If $\omega \notin A(\omega)$,

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^k \theta_m > \sum_{m=m_0}^k E(\eta_m) - (k - m_0 + 1) \in$$

for all k such that $k - m_0 + 1 \geq k_0$. Therefore

$$N_n(\omega) > \frac{1}{2}(\delta - \epsilon)k \geq \frac{\mu(\delta - \epsilon)}{2\sqrt{c'}} \epsilon_n \log n .$$

For $n > n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{A(\omega)\} &< \mu/k_0 + \frac{2\mu'}{\epsilon} \sum_{k-m_0+1 \geq k_0} (1/m_0^2) \\ &< \mu/k_0 < \left(\frac{\mu\sqrt{c'}}{\mu'} \right) / (\epsilon_{n_0} \log n_0). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $c' = (\delta - \epsilon)^2 \mu_1^2 / 4$, the desired result follows.

REFERENCES

1. **Littlewood, J.E. and Offord, A.C.** On the number of real zeros of random algebraic equation (II), *Math. Science* 12 (1943), 277-286.
2. **Hajek-Renye .**"Inequality", *Cambridge University press*.
3. **Samal G.** On the number of real roots of random algebraic equation, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 58 (1962), 433-422.
4. **Evans, E.A.** On the number of real roots of random algebraic equation, *proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 15 (1965), 731-749.
5. **Samal and Pratihari .** Real zeros of a random algebraic polynomial, *Quar. Jour. Math. Oxford.* 2 (1993), 169-175.
6. **Samal and Pratihari .** The number of real zeros of a class of random algebraic polynomials (I), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 18 (1989), 439-460.
7. **Sambadham, M. and Renganathan.** On the number of real zeros of a random trigonometric polynomial, coefficient with non-zero mean, *Jour. Indian. Math. Soc.* 45 (1989), 193-203.
8. **Nayak N.N. and Patanayak, S.** Strong results for real zeros of random polynomial, *Pac. Jour Math.* 103 (1982), 509-522.