

Adaptive Cross Layer Protocol to Enhance Wireless Network Performance

Rutuja Patil¹, Swati Sankpal²

¹ Department of Electronics and telecommunication Engineering, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India

² Department of Electronics and telecommunication Engineering, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Wireless Ad-hoc network is the decentralized type of network and it does not rely on pre-existing interferences. Dynamic changes in network causes frequent paths disconnectivity due to which the network throughput decreases. In this paper, an improved protocol with adaptive cross layer (Adap-CP) is proposed which reduces the routing process and utilize the channel capacity in a better way. This new approach enhances the network performance significantly as compare to conventional 802.15.4 and AODV in terms of throughput, route discovery rate, PDR and energy efficiency. In rest of the paper, we will refer enhanced adaptive cross layer protocol as Adap-CP and conventional cross layer of 802.15.4 and AODV as Conv-P.

Keywords - *Dynamic data rate, Adap-CP protocol, Aggregation, Reduced routing, MAC, Conv-P.*

1. Introduction

Network is the collection of nodes that can be connected through the communication link. Wireless ad-hoc network network is not centralized and have structure which contain collection of nodes in specific range. Due to dynamic changes interferences on radio channel and path loss increases, which bounds the gains of the network. The throughput of these network can be enhanced by using several cooperative and adaptive techniques at cross layers. It was found that data loss due to collision can improve by aggregation.

In our scheme we are implementing the data aggregation which improves, the throughput by avoiding collision and network congestion. On the other hand, aggregation can increase network complexity this can be reduce by using simple aggregation technique. Node's mobility and channel fading also degrades the network performance. To maintain and discover routes in such network is difficult and requires frequent local neighbouring updates. This increases the routing process over the network, which in result affects the network efficiency. To reduce the overheads of route discovery, several techniques are introduce in AODV routing. By controlling the flooding of

RREQ messages in AODV, The routing can improve as presented in. In proposed protocol, data aggregation can also reduce the number of route to discover for transmission and improves the latency by reducing routing delays in the network. However, for high data rates congestion at MAC can increase the latency and reduces the network throughput. For various data rates, MAC should be adaptable to provide high gains. This adaptability can be introduces at cross layer according to network behaviour to increase the network QoS. We have made the MAC adaptive so it can configure its parameter according to network requirements to produce better network services. As the number of nodes increase in the network, the probability of data loss due to collision also increases.

2. Proposed methodology

Simulation has been done using MALTAB-Simulink R2012a with true-time beta 2.0 library. The network contain 7 wireless mobile nodes with 2 sources and 1 single sink. Results are obtained for both Conv-P and Adap-CP for static network with varying data rate. Mac backoff exponential and retrial limits for Conv-P are taken as 5 and 4 respectively, whereas it's ranging from 3-5 and 3-8 for Adap-CP. To analyse the protocol performance, in worst case, sources are placed in same radio range and similarly sink nodes present within radio range of each other. Network performance metric such as throughput, PDR, route discovery attempts and energy has been analysed for different network rate.

2.1 Adaptive Cross Layer Protocol (Adap-CP)

To increase the network throughput and its efficiency, an efficient cross layer adaptive protocol is proposed and implemented. The most common reactive routing protocol AODV is used which provides effective results for dynamic network with less overheads as compare to proactive routing protocol. AODV can work well in

mobile network by exchanging control packets for links update. With increased number of source nodes, network routing also increases. More control packets will be needed to determine several routes, which will increase the routing computation and its delay. We are reducing the need of discovering more paths by aggregating data packets of several nodes. Single node is now responsible to send data towards destination hence reduces the route discovery process.

Aggregation also overcome the loading capacity by merging the data packets of neighbouring nodes and improves the throughput by avoiding collision and contention at network. This can provide the network to better utilize its frequency bandwidth by transmitting more data packets. Collision at receiver end also reduces by delivering single compact data packet of several nodes instead of transmitting from different nodes To further enhance the performance, an adaptive MAC is introduce which is an extension to conventional 802.15.4. This MAC can provide reliability and energy efficiency along with low latency.

For high data rate, MAC delays should reduce with increased retransmission limit to deliver maximum packet without collision. Whereas, an optimize value of MAC are selected for low data rate to improve reliability and latency. This adaptive MAC configure its parameters according to network changes, hence provides optimize results for various network states. This protocol can also resolve the problem of scalability in adhoc network and can provide better result as compare to conventional protocols. Since the network parameters in this protocol are adaptive, they can configure them according to network size and data rate and maintain network performance in scalable and mobile network. The Adap-CP can produce improve results of throughput, PDR, routing computations and energy efficiency for different network as compare to conventional adhoc protocols.

3. Experimental Analysis

3.1 Throughput

Throughput is measured as number of successful received bits at receiver end in a second. It is initially increased by aggregating data, further efficiency is gained by using adaptive MAC parameters. Throughput of the network can express mathematically by Eqn. (1)

$$\text{Throughput} = \frac{8 * \text{No. of packets received}}{T_{mac} + T_{rout} + T_{agg}} \quad (1)$$

$$T_{mac} = \text{No. of retrials} * \text{back off delay} \quad (2)$$

$$T_{agg} = (T_{enc} + T_{dec}) * \text{data rate} \quad (3)$$

where T_{mac} , T_{rout} , T_{agg} , T_{enc} , T_{dec} are the MAC backoff delay, time required for route discovery, time required for data aggregation, time for encoding data bits, time for decoding data bits respectively.

Figure-1 shows the throughput of Conv-P and Adap-CP for static network with different data rates. The throughput for Conv-P is lower than Adap-CP for each data rate. This is because the contention and collision in network caused by presence of two or more source node in same radio range. Adap-CP enhance the throughput of the network by sending an aggregated data packets of such nodes. This avoids the data loss due to collision and transmit more packets by reducing routing delay. With the increase in data rate, the throughput of the network increases more due to transmission of more data packets. Since probability of collision increases in Conv-P which is controlled in Adap-CP through adaptive MAC, therefore high gain is observed for Adap-CP. Adap-CP provides throughput of network of about 10% improved as compared to Conv-P.

3.2 Packet Delivery Ratio

Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR) is used to determine efficiency of the network. It is the ratio of number of packet received with respect to the packet transmitted. PDR of the network is improved using proposed AC-Protocol which gives better results maintain high delivery ratio. It can be express using Eqn. (4)

$$PDR = \frac{\text{No. of packets received}}{\text{Total no. of packet transmitted}} \quad (4)$$

PDR of Conv-P and Adap-CP are shown in figure-2 .PDR of the network is maintain for Adap-CP and providing better result as compare to Conv-P has slight improved at data rate of 30kbps due to increased data rate.but as the data rate Increases, the collision of data packets also increases hence PDR for Conv-P is dropping with increasing data rate at each step. Also, the contention at MAC causes the packet loss which in result reduces the network PDR. For Adap-CP, PDR of the network is higher than Conv-P along various data rate because Adap-CP utilizes the channel capacity in a better way by aggregating data packets and also reduces the need of route discovery. Results shows Adap-CP provides 20% improved network PDR as compared to Conv-P.




Figure 1. Throughput of Conv-P and Adap-CP for static




Figure 2. PDR of Conv-P and Adap-CP for static network.

3.3 Route discovery attempt

Routing is used to discover a route from a node to its destination. More source nodes are available in the network, the more route discovery will be demanded by the network. Mobility and channel fading also cause path loss. An attempt made by any node for route discovery can be given by Eqn. (5)

$$\text{Route discovery attempt} = N * \text{mob} * \text{noise} \quad (5)$$

Where N and mob are the number of nodes and mobility respectively.

Figure-3 shows the attempts made by each of the protocols to discover routes. Demand for route discovery is reduced significantly in Adap-CP since nodes are transmitting aggregated data packets. This will require discovering fewer paths for packet transmission, since the number of sending nodes is reduced. Adap-CP conserves power, improves latency and provides high gain through this technique. Whereas, in conventional protocols, attempts of route discovery are much higher because nodes send their packets separately. Several nodes perform routing processes to discover their path to the destination. This increases contention and delay in the network, which in result affects the network latency. Around 60% of reduced demand of route discovery is achieved by Adap-CP in comparison with Conv-P.

3.4 Energy

Excessive number of retransmissions, route discovery process and high rates are characteristic factors for increasing energy consumption. This depletion of energy is reduced by controlling network parameters at cross layers. Equation for energy consumption in the network can be stated mathematically as Eqn. (6)

$$\text{Energy} = E_{Tx} + E_{mac} + E_{agg} + E_{rout} \quad (6)$$

Where E_{Tx} , $E_{mac,g}$, E_{rout} are signal transmission power, energy consumption at MAC layer, power consumption for data aggregation, energy depletion due to mobility, energy consumed during routing process respectively.

In Figure-4, power consumption for both Conv-P and Adap-CP is shown and Adap-CP has also proved to be energy efficient. The low power consumption of Adap-CP is due to adaptive MAC and reduced routing process by sending aggregated data. Most of the node's energy in Conv-P is lost in packet collision and route determining. Moreover, excessive delay for high data rates for conventional protocols also consume more energy, which is optimized in Adap-CP. Adap-CP is designed in such a way that it gives maximum throughput with reduced power depletion. Power consumption in Adap-CP is reduced by around 35% as compared to Conv-P for network with variable data rate. Results of Adap-CP and Conv-P show that QoS of network can be enhanced greatly by introducing aggregation and adaptive MAC to the protocol. It can perform efficiently for various data rates and provide improved results over several performance metrics of the network.




Figure 3. Route discover attempt of Conv-P and Adap-CP for static network




Figure 4. Energy consumption of Conv-P and Adap-CP for static network

4. Conclusions

Most of the routing protocol does not work well with dynamic network changes. Conventional protocols introduce high complexity and computations to maintain reliability and increase throughput of the network. This paper proposed an enhanced cross layer adhoc protocol to improve network performance. Combination of aggregation and adaptive nature is used at cross layer to enhance network services. The AdapCP provides throughput gain of up to 55%, PDR improvement up to 63% with reducing route discovery attempts up to 60% and power reduction of about 35% in comparison with ConvP. The enhanced performance of protocol has been analysed for static network under differ network load capacity. This protocol can be implemented to mobile and scalable network due to its adaptive nature. It will provide better results under different network states. From result, we conclude that the proposed Adap-CP can provide high network throughput for variable data rate and also

improves the other metrics like delay, route discovery, PDR and energy consumption. It is a comprehensive protocol that can provide multi folds network gain in several directions with less complexity and reduce computations.

References

- [1] Brown S. and Sreenan C. J. 2007. A study on data aggregation and reliability in managing wireless sensor networks. In: IEEE International Conference of Mobile Adhoc and Sensor Systems. pp. 1-7
- [2] Jungmin S., Jin J. K. and Indranil G. 2005. Cushion: Autonomically adaptive data fusion in wireless sensor network. In: IEEE International Conference on Mobile Adhoc and Sensor Systems. pp. 1-3.
- [3] Premalatha J. and Balasubramanie P. 2010. Enhancing quality of service in MANETS by effecting routing. In: International Conference on Wireless Communication and Sensor Computing. pp. 1-5.
- [4] Gupta M. 2014. Enhanced flooding scheme for AODV routing protocol in mobile ad hoc networks. IN: IEEE International Conference on Electronic Systems, Signal Processing and Computing Technologies. pp. 316-321.
- [5] Raphael E. and Peter M. 2012. Adaptive HELLO for the neighborhood discovery protocol. In: 37th Annual IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks. pp. 470-478.
- [6] Jamali A., Naja N. and El Ouadghiri D. 2013. An enhanced on ad hoc wireless network routing based on AODV. In: 6th IFIP/IEEE Wireless Days Conference. pp. 1-3.
- [7] K. G. Preetha, A. Unnikrishnan and K. P. Jacob. 2012. A probabilistic approach to reduce the route establishment overhead in AODV algorithm for MANET. International Journal of Distributed and Parallel Systems. 3(2): 207-214.
- [8] Rashmi R., Rinkoo B. and Vineet S. S. 2012. Performance analysis of various routing protocols (proactive and reactive) for random mobility models of adhoc networks. In: 1st International conference on Recent Advances in Information Technology. pp. 331-335.
- [9] L. Kumar. 2012. Scalability performance of AODV, TORA and OLSR with reference to variable network size. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications. 2(6): 87-92
- [10] S. Priyadsrini, T. M. Navamani, and V. Mahadevan. 2012. An efficient route discovery in MANETS with improved route lifetime. International Journal of Information and Electronics Engineering. 2(4): 493496

First Author Ms. Rutuja Ashokrao Patil, Student at Department of Electronics and Telecommunication engineering, D.Y.Patil college of engineering & technology, Kolhapur, India.

Second Author Dr. Mrs. Swati Vijay Sankpal, Professor at Department of Electronics and Telecommunication engineering, D.Y.Patil college of engineering & technology, Kolhapur, India.