

Derivations of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sub-Implicative Ideals of BCK – Algebras

¹V. Jaya Sree and ²B. Satyanarayana

*¹Department of Mathematics, Assistant Professor, NRI Institute of Technology,
Andhra Pradesh, India. E-Mail: jayasrinivasgalla@gmail.com*

*² Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522510,
Andhra Pradesh, India. E-Mail: drbsn63@yahoo.com*

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of derivation of intuitionistic fuzzy sub-implicative ideals (DIFSII) in BCK – algebras and to investigate some of their related properties.

Keywords and Phrases: *BCK-algebras, Derivations, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, implicative ideals, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Positive Implicative Ideal, Derivations of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal and Derivations of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Positive Implicative Ideals.*

Mathematics subject classification: 06F35, 03B52

1. Introduction:

In 1966 by means of K. Iseki and Y. Imai [6], introduced a new perception known as a BCK-algebras. So many Researchers investigated assorted houses of this algebra. Such Algebra generalized the thought of units with the set subtraction as the most effective nonnullary operation. In [5], Iseki and Tanaka introduce the idea of Sub-Algebra, Ideals and Positive Implicative Ideals in BCK-algebras. Meng [9], introduce the notion of Implicative ideals in BCK-algebras and investigate the affiliation of it with the concept of Positive Implicative ideals and Commutative Ideals in BCK-Algebras. In 1991, Xi [17] applied the concept of Fuzzy Set to BCK-algebras and had given some houses of it. Jun and Xin [8] applied the notion of derivation in rings and near-rings. Recently Aboujabal and Alshehri [1] discussed the derivation on BCK-algebra. After the work of Jun and Xin [8], many research articles have been appeared on the derivations of BCK-algebras and a greater interest has been devoted to the study of derivation in BCK-algebras on various aspects. Motivated by the notions of left derivation and generalized derivation in rings and near rings theory, Satyanarayana et.al. ([13], [14], [16]) applied the concept of derivation and introduced Derivation of intuitionistic fuzzy commutative ideals, Derivation of intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideals and Derivation of intuitionistic fuzzy implicative ideals in BCK-algebras.

The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of derivation of intuitionistic fuzzy sub-implicative ideals and derivation of intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideals in BCK – algebras and to investigate some of their related properties.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1. The following are the elementary definitions. A BCK – algebra is an algebra of type $(2, 0)$, if it satisfies the following axioms for all $p, q, r \in K$.

$$(BCK-1) ((p \otimes q) \otimes (p \otimes r)) \otimes (r \otimes q) = 0$$

$$(BCK-2) (p \otimes (p \otimes q)) \otimes q = 0$$

$$(BCK-3) p \otimes p = 0$$

$$(BCK-4) 0 \otimes p = 0$$

$$(BCK-5) p \otimes q = 0 \text{ and } q \otimes p = 0 \text{ implies } p = q.$$

We can define a binary relation \leq on K by assuming $p \leq q$ if and only if $p \otimes q = 0$. In that case (K, \leq) is a partial ordered set with least element 0 and $(K, \otimes, 0)$ is a BCK – algebra if and only if, it satisfies the following axioms: For all $p, q, r \in K$

$$(i) ((p \otimes q) \otimes (p \otimes r)) \leq (r \otimes q) \text{ (ii) } (p \otimes (p \otimes q)) \leq q \text{ (iii) } p \leq p \text{ (iv) } 0 \leq p$$

$$(v) p \leq q \text{ and } q \leq p \text{ implies } p = q, \text{ for all } p, q, r \in K.$$

The following are the properties in a BCK – algebra:

$$(P_1) p \otimes 0 = p, (P_2) p \otimes q \leq p, (P_3) (p \otimes q) \otimes r = (p \otimes r) \otimes q, (P_4) (p \otimes r) \otimes (q \otimes r) \leq (p \otimes q)$$

$$(P_5) p \otimes (p \otimes (p \otimes q)) = p \otimes q, (P_6) p \leq q \Rightarrow p \otimes r \leq q \otimes r \text{ and } r \otimes q \leq r \otimes p$$

$$(P_7) p \otimes q \leq r \Rightarrow p \otimes r \leq q \text{ for all } p, q, r \in K.$$

A BCK-Algebra K is said to be implicative if $p = p \otimes (q \otimes p)$, for all $p, q, r \in K$.

A non-empty subset I of K is said to be an ideal of K if $(I_1) 0 \in I$ and $(I_2) p \otimes q$ and $q \in I$ imply that $p \in I$ for all $p, q \in K$,

A non-empty subset I of K is said to be an implicative ideal if $(I_1) 0 \in I$ and $(I_3) (p \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r \in I$ and $r \in I$ imply $p \in I$ for all $p, q, r \in K$.

A non-empty subset I of K is said to be a positive implicative ideal if $(I_1) 0 \in I$ and $(I_4) (p \otimes q) \otimes r \in I$ and $q \otimes r \in I$ imply $p \otimes r \in I$ for all $p, q, r \in K$.

A non-empty subset I of K is said to be a sub- implicative ideal if $0 \in I$ and $((p \otimes (p \otimes q)) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r \in I$ and $r \in I$ imply $q \otimes (q \otimes p) \in I$ for all $p, q, r \in K$.

Once we recollect the contents of fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy set.

A fuzzy set in a set K is a function $M : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and the complement of M denoted by \bar{M} the fuzzy set on K given by $\bar{M}(p) = 1 - M(p)$ for all $p \in K$. Let M and N be the fuzzy sets on K . For $s, t \in [0, 1]$ the set $U(M, s) = \{p \in K / M_A(p) \geq s\}$ is called upper s -level cut of M

and the set $L(N, t) = \{p \in K / N_A(p) \leq t\}$ is called lower t-level cut of N and can be used to characterize of M and N.

A fuzzy set M in K is called a fuzzy sub-implicative ideal (briefly FSI) of K if

- (1) $M(0) \geq M(p)$ and (2) $M(q^2 \otimes p) \geq \min\{M(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r), M(r)\}$ for all $p, q, r \in K$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly IFS) A in a non-empty set K is an object having the form $A = \{p, M_A(p), N_A(p) / p \in K\}$ where the functions $M_A : K \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $N_A : K \rightarrow [0,1]$ denoted the degree of membership of each element $p \in K$ to the set A respectively and $0 \leq M_A(p) + N_A(p) \leq 1$ for all $p \in K$. Let K denotes a BCK – algebra.

A fuzzy set M in K is called an intuitionistic fuzzy sub-implicative ideal (briefly IFSII) of K if (1) $M_A(0) \geq M_A(p)$ and $N_A(0) \leq N_A(p)$

- (2) $M_A(q^2 \otimes p) \geq \min\{M_A(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r), M_A(r)\}$
 (3) $N_A(q^2 \otimes p) \leq \max\{N_A(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r), N_A(r)\}$ for all $p, q, r \in K$.

Example 1. Let $K = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the following Cayley table by a BCK-algebra.

\otimes	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2
2	2	2	0

Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in K define by $M_A(0) = M_A(1) = 0.6, M_A(2) = 0.2$ and $N_A(0) = N_A(1) = 0.2, N_A(2) = 0.6$. Then A is an IFSII of K.

A map $\Delta : K \rightarrow K$ is known as a left-right derivation (briefly (L, R)-derivation) of K if:

$$\Delta(p \otimes q) = (\Delta(p) \otimes q) \wedge (p \otimes \Delta(q)), \text{ for all } p, q \in K$$

A map $\Delta : K \rightarrow K$ is known as a right derivation (briefly (R, L)-derivation) of K if:

$$\Delta(p \otimes q) = (p \otimes \Delta(q)) \wedge (\Delta(p) \otimes q), \text{ for all } p, q \in K$$

A map $\Delta : K \rightarrow K$ is known as a derivation of K if Δ is both a (L, R)-derivation and (R, L)-derivation of K. Let $(K, \otimes, 0)$ be a BCK-algebra, $\Delta : K \rightarrow K$ be a self map. A non-empty subset A of BCK-algebra K and $p, q, r \in K$ is called DMI (derivation implicative ideal) of BCK-algebra K if it satisfies: (DMI-1) $0 \in A$ (DMI-2) $\Delta((p \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r) \in A$ and $\Delta(r) \in A$ imply $\Delta(p) \in A$.

Definition 2.2. A self mapping of BCK-algebra is called regular if $\Delta(0) = 0$

3. DIFSII in BCK-ALGEBRA: (Derivations of intuitionistic fuzzy sub-implicative ideal in BCK – algebra)

In this part, we apply the concept of Derivation to Intuitionistic fuzzy sub-implicative ideal (DIFSII) and related properties are investigated.

Definition 3.1. A derivation $\Delta: K \rightarrow K$ is a mapping of BCK-algebra. Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be a non-empty IFS of K for all $p, q, r \in K$ is called derivation intuitionistic fuzzy sub-implicative ideal (briefly DIFSII) of K if it satisfies:

$$(DIFSII-1) \quad M_A(0) \geq M_A(\Delta(p)) \text{ and } N_A(0) \leq N_A(\Delta(p))$$

$$(DIFSII-2) \quad M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$(DIFSII-3) \quad N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

Example 2. Let $K = \{0, a, b\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

\otimes	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0
b	b	b	0

Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in K define by $M_A(0) = 0.4, M_A(a) = 0.6, M_A(b) = 0.8$ and $N_A(0) = 0.5, N_A(a) = 0.3, N_A(b) = 0.19$. Then A is a DIFSII of K .

Theorem 3.2. Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in K satisfying $M_A(0) \geq M_A(p)$ and $N_A(0) \leq N_A(p)$. If A is DIFSII of K , then satisfies the following inequality

$$M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq M_A(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \text{ and } N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq N_A(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)))$$

for all $p, q \in K$.

Proof: Let A be a DIFSII of K .

Then $M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\}$ and

$$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

Taking $r = 0$, then $M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes 0)), M_A(\Delta(0))\}$

Since derivation on BCK – algebra is regular (ie) $\Delta(0) = 0$.

$$\therefore M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))), M_A(0)\}$$

$$= M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)))$$

and $N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes 0)), N_A(\Delta(0))\}$

$$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))), N_A(0)\}$$

$$= N_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))), \text{ Hence proved.}$$

Theorem 3.3. Every DIFSII of K is a DIFI.

Proof: Assume $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ is a DIFSII of K. Then

$$(DIFSII-1) \quad M_A(0) \geq M_A(\Delta(p)) \text{ and } N_A(0) \leq N_A(\Delta(p))$$

$$(DIFSII-2) \quad M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$(DIFSII-3) \quad N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

Put $q = p$ in DIFSII-2 and DIFSII-3, we get

$$M_A(\Delta(p^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes p) \otimes (p \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$M_A(\Delta(p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta((p \otimes 0) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$(\text{Since } \Delta(p^2 \otimes p) = \Delta(p \otimes (p \otimes p)) = \Delta(p \otimes 0) = \Delta(p))$$

$$M_A(\Delta(p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(p \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$\text{Similarly } N_A(\Delta(p^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes p) \otimes (p \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta((p \otimes 0) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(p \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\} \text{ for all } p, q \in K.$$

Hence A is DIFI.

But the converse of the above theorem need not be true. It can be explained by the following example.

Let $K = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

\otimes	0	a	b	C
0	0	0	0	C
a	a	0	0	C
b	b	b	0	C
c	c	c	c	0

Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in K define by $M_A(0) = 0.7, M_A(x) = 0.2$ for all $x \neq 0$

and $N_A(0) = 0.2, N_A(x) = 0.7$ for all $x \neq 0$.

Define $\Delta : K \rightarrow K$ as $\Delta(x) = 0, x = 0$

$$= c, \quad x = a, b, c$$

and if you define derivation on IFS by $M_A : K \rightarrow K$ and $N_A : K \rightarrow K$ such that

$$M_A(\Delta(0)) = 0.7, M_A(\Delta(a)) = M_A(\Delta(b)) = M_A(\Delta(c)) = 0.2 \text{ and}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(0)) = 0.2, N_A(\Delta(a)) = N_A(\Delta(b)) = N_A(\Delta(c)) = 0.7$$

Then it is easily to check that A is DIFI of K. But it is not DIFSII of K because

$$M_A(\Delta(a^2 \otimes b)) < \min\{M_A(\Delta(((b^2 \otimes a) \otimes (a \otimes b)) \otimes 0)), M_A(\Delta(0))\}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(a^2 \otimes b)) > \max\{N_A(\Delta(((b^2 \otimes a) \otimes (a \otimes b)) \otimes 0)), N_A(\Delta(0))\}$$

Theorem 3.4. Every DIFI satisfying the condition

$$M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq M_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \text{ and}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq N_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \text{ is an DIFSII of K for all } p, q \in K.$$

Proof: Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be a DIFI of K satisfying

$$M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq M_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \text{ and}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq N_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) &\geq M_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \\ &\geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) &\leq N_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \\ &\leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.

4. Derivation of Intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideal of BCK-algebras.

Definition 4.1. An IFS A in K is called DIFPII of K if

$$(DIFPII-1) \quad M_A(0) \geq M_A(\Delta(p)) \text{ and } N_A(0) \leq N_A(\Delta(p))$$

$$(DIFPII-2) \quad M_A(\Delta(p \otimes r)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p \otimes r) \otimes r) \otimes (q \otimes r))), M_A(\Delta(q))\}$$

$$(DIFPII-3) \quad N_A(\Delta(p \otimes r)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p \otimes r) \otimes r) \otimes (q \otimes r))), N_A(\Delta(q))\}$$

Note:

$$((p \otimes r) \otimes r) \otimes (q \otimes r) = ((p \otimes r) \otimes (q \otimes r)) \otimes r \leq (p \otimes q) \otimes r$$

$$(p \otimes q) \otimes r \geq ((p \otimes r) \otimes r) \otimes (q \otimes r)$$

We know that $q \otimes r \leq q \Rightarrow q \geq q \otimes r$ instead of $(q \otimes r)$ we can write q.

Hence the definition of DIFPII is modified accordingly to the definition of DIFSII.

Example 3. Let $K = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

\otimes	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	0	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in K define by $M_A(0) = 0.5, M_A(x) = 0.1$ for all $x \neq 0$ and $N_A(0) = 0.1, N_A(x) = 0.5$ for all $x \neq 0$.

Define $\Delta: K \rightarrow K$ as $\Delta(x) = 0, x = 0$

$$= 3, x = 1, 2, 3$$

and if you define derivation on IFS by $M_A: K \rightarrow K$ and $N_A: K \rightarrow K$ such that

$$M_A(\Delta(0)) = 0.5, M_A(\Delta(1)) = M_A(\Delta(2)) = M_A(\Delta(3)) = 0.1 \text{ and}$$

$$N_A(\Delta(0)) = 0.1, N_A(\Delta(1)) = N_A(\Delta(2)) = N_A(\Delta(3)) = 0.5$$

Then it is easily to check that A is DIFPII of K .

Theorem 4.2. Every DIFSII is a DIFPII.

Proof: Let A be a DIFSII of K . Then A is a DIFI of K .

From theorem 3.4, we have $M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq M_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)))$ and

$$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq N_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \text{ for all } p, q \in K.$$

Substituting $x \otimes y$ for p and x for q we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M_A(\Delta(x \otimes y)) &= M_A(\Delta((x \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y)))))) = M_A(\Delta((q^2 \otimes p))) \\ &\geq M_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \\ &= M_A(\Delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes ((x \otimes y) \otimes x)) \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y)))) \\ &= M_A(\Delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y))) \otimes ((x \otimes y) \otimes x))) \\ &= M_A(\Delta(((x \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y))) \otimes y) \otimes ((x \otimes x) \otimes y))) \\ &= M_A(\Delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes y) \otimes (0 \otimes y))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_A(\Delta(x \otimes y)) &= N_A(\Delta((x \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y)))))) = N_A(\Delta((q^2 \otimes p))) \\ &\leq N_A(\Delta((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p))) \\ &= N_A(\Delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes ((x \otimes y) \otimes x)) \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y)))) \\ &= N_A(\Delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y))) \otimes ((x \otimes y) \otimes x))) \\ &= N_A(\Delta(((x \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y))) \otimes y) \otimes ((x \otimes x) \otimes y))) \\ &= N_A(\Delta(((x \otimes y) \otimes y) \otimes (0 \otimes y))) \end{aligned}$$

Hence A is DIFPII of K .

Theorem 4.3. For any DIFSII A of K , the set

$$K_A = \{p \in K; M_A(\Delta(p)) = M_A(0) \text{ and } N_A(\Delta(p)) = N_A(0)\} \text{ is a Sub-implicative Ideal (SII).}$$

Proof: Clearly $0 \in K_A$.

Let $p, q, r \in K$ be such that $((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r \in K_A$ and $r \in K_A$.

Then $M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\} = M_A(0)$.

$$M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) = M_A(0).$$

and $N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\} = N_A(0)$.

$$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) = N_A(0) \text{ for all } p, q, r \in K.$$

(ie), $q^2 \otimes p \in K_A$.

Therefore, K_A is SII of K .

Definition 4.4. Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in BCK-algebra K . For each pair $\langle t, s \rangle \in [0, 1]$, the set

$A_{\langle t, s \rangle} = \{p \in K : M_A(\Delta(p)) \geq t \text{ and } N_A(\Delta(p)) \leq s\}$ is called the level subset of A .

Definition 4.5. Let $A = (K, M_A, N_A)$ be an IFS in BCK-algebra K and let $t \in [0, 1]$. Then the sets

$U(M_A : t) = \{p \in K : M_A(\Delta(p)) \geq t\}$ and $L(N_A : t) = \{p \in K : N_A(\Delta(p)) \leq t\}$ are called M-level t-cut and N-level t-cut of A respectively.

Theorem 4.6. Let $A = (M_A, N_A)$ be a DIFSII of K . Then $A_{\langle t, s \rangle}$ is a SII of K for every

$t, s \in I_m(M_A) \times I_m(N_A)$ with $t + s \leq 1$.

Proof: Let A be a DIFSII of K . Obviously $0 \in A_{\langle t, s \rangle}$.

Let $p, q, r \in K$ be such that $((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r \in A_{\langle t, s \rangle}$ and $r \in A_{\langle t, s \rangle}$.

$M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)) \geq t, N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)) \leq s$ and $M_A(\Delta(r)) \geq t, N_A(\Delta(r)) \leq s$.

It follows that $M_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \geq \min\{M_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), M_A(\Delta(r))\} \geq t$

$N_A(\Delta(q^2 \otimes p)) \leq \max\{N_A(\Delta(((p^2 \otimes q) \otimes (q \otimes p)) \otimes r)), N_A(\Delta(r))\} \leq s$

So that, $q^2 \otimes p \in A_{\langle t, s \rangle}$. Hence $A_{\langle t, s \rangle}$ is a SII.

References:

- [1] H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehrie, On left derivations of BCI-algebras, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 33, no. 3, (2007), pp. 435–444.
- [2] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems 20(1) (1986), 87-96.
- [3] K. T. Atanassov, New operations defined over intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems 61(1994), 137-142.
- [4] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [5] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, Ideal theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica, 21(1976), 351-366. (1978), 1-26.
- [6] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, on axioms systems of proposition calculi XIV, Proc. Japan Acad, (1996), 19-22.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, Characterization of fuzzy ideals by their level ideals in BCK (BCI) –algebra, Math. Japan 38 (1993), 67-71.
- [8] Y.B. Jun and X. L. Xin, “On derivations of BCI-algebras,” Information Sciences, Vol. 159, (2004), no. 3-4, pp. 167–176.

- [9] J. Meng, On ideals BCK-algebras, *Math. Japan* 40 (1994), 143-154.
- [10] J. Meng, Y.B. Jun and H. S. Kim, Fuzzy implicative ideals of BCK-algebras, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 89(2) (1997), 243-248.
- [11] B. Satyanarayana and R. Durga Prasad, Direct product of finite Intuitionist fuzzy BCK-algebras, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 5(2)(2009), 125-138.
- [12] B. Satyanarayana, V. Jaya Sree and U. Bindu Madhavi, Intuitionistic fuzzy translations of n-fold H- ideals of BCK- Algebra, *Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology*, Volume XI, 2019, pp. 1330-1335.
- [13] B. Satyanarayana, V. Jaya Sree and U. Bindu Madhavi, Derivations of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Commutative Ideals of BCK - Algebra, *Journal of The Gujarath Reasearch Society*, Volume 21, 2019, pp. 1987-1993.
- [14] B. Satyanarayana, V. Jaya Sree and U. Bindu Madhavi, Derivations of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Positive Implicative Ideals of BCK - Algebra, *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, Volume 29, no. 4, 2020, pp. 1478-1489.
- [15] U. Bindu Madhavi, V. Jaya Sree, D. Ramesh and B. Satyanarayana, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Translations of Implicative ideals of BCK- Algebra, *Journal of The Gujarath Reasearch Society*, Volume 21, no. 17, 2019, pp. 528-533.
- [16] B. Satyanarayana, V. Jaya Sree, R. Durga Prasad and U. Bindu Madhavi, Derivations of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Implicative Ideals of BCK - Algebra, *International Journal of Advanced and Applications in Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 20, no. 6, 2021, pp. 1147-1166.
- [17] O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK-algebra, *Math. Japan* 36 (1991), 935-942.